

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Cardiology Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCR_80391
Title of the Manuscript:	PAINLESS AORTIC DISSECTION: A RARE AND ATYPICAL CLINICAL PRESENTATION
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1. When the patients' aortic dissection developed? If the authors think it's the patient's first visit, to mention the relationship about patient's digestive symptom and aortic dissection.</p> <p>2. Was the initial chest X-ray really normal. If so, isn't the onset after the first visit? Please mention what the authors think about this point.</p> <p>3. It is better to describe the characteristics of painless aortic dissection in a little more detail. It is mentioned in several reports that aortic dissection with neurological symptoms had a high frequency of painless, so why not cite it? (PMID:24030403, 28633904)</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yuji Shono
Department, University & Country	Kyushu University Hospital, Japan