

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Cardiology Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJCR_79417 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | PROGNOSTIC UTILITY OF NGAL IN CARDIAC ICU |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcr.com/index.php/AJCR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | No | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | In abstract, line (6), the authors have to change (Place and Duration of Study) into (Place and duration of study). In results, the authors have to change (3.1 Baseline characteristics) into (3.1 Baseline Characteristics). line (6), the authors have to change (found to be have) into (found to be having). Table (1), the authors have to write the the results of etiology. In discussion, line (20), the authors have to change (Na G et al.) into (Na et al.). line (20), the authors have to change (non diabetic) into (non-diabetic). line (24), the authors have to correct (P-0.023). In conclusion, Line (1), the authors have to change (a well established) into (a well-established). | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.6

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Name: | Bahaa Kenawy Abuel-Hussien |
| Department, University & Country | Minia University, Egypt |