

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_84775
Title of the Manuscript:	Large Asymptomatic Mucocoele of The Appendix – An Incidental Finding
Type of the Article	Case report

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Summary</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>✓ The summary is too long, it should be 250 words maximum</li><li>✓ The case presentation and discussion could be removed from the summary, and only the aim and conclusion kept in one paragraph.</li></ul>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Case presentation</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>✓ The description of the clinical examination should precede the description of the ultrasound signs</li><li>✓ Figure 1: put instead "CT scan in axial section with contrast injection, showing a dilated appendix in FID (arrow)</li></ul> <b>Discussion</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>✓ First paragraph: put instead "medical imaging examination" instead of a "radiographic imaging"</li><li>✓ Second paragraph: Delete with poor enhancement</li><li>✓ Highlight the advantage of CT over ultrasound in the diagnosis of mucocoele</li></ul>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	No comment	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kiki Sessi Miralda Hermione Grace
Department, University & Country	University of Parakou, Benin