

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_84499
Title of the Manuscript:	Pleural Fibroma Presenting as Spontaneous Pneumothorax in Late Pregnancy, an Exceedingly Rare Co-occurrence: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Structure the summary. It should include: A brief context, the problem being discussed in the work, the objectives, the methodology and the main results and conclusions. Introduction: The beginning of the introduction must present a summary of the proposal, with the reasons that justify the choice of topic: what motivated you to choose your topic of study, what is the usefulness of the results obtained by the research, what is the purpose of the proposal. A text introducing the subject to be studied must be used, with at least two paragraphs.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The work needs to adjust the formatting according to the rules of the journal, the figures need to be cited and referenced.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	I suggest accepting the job, after some major improvements have been made. The study addresses a relevant theme, is with an easy-to-understand writing and will add more knowledge on the subject.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Luana de Oliveira Hernandes
Department, University & Country	Brazil