

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_83979
Title of the Manuscript:	GASTRIC SCHWANNOMA: CASE REPORT AND LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Why was a USG guided percutaneous FNA done ? An endoscopy was done and the authors could have easily and safely done an endoscopic targeted biopsy which could have given an earlier diagnosis.</li><li>2. Why did the authors not consider giving Imatinib therapy beforehand to the patient in view of large size of tumour even though she was only in PARTIAL GOO and not having any haemorrhage or other serious constitutional symptoms ?</li></ol>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Snehal Bhange
Department, University & Country	Jaslok Hospital & Research Centre, India