

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_83979
Title of the Manuscript:	GASTRIC SCHWANNOMA: CASE REPORT AND LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><u>There</u> are significant grammatical mistakes which need to be rectified before final acceptance. E.g. <b>Kindly rephrase sentence in introduction</b></p> <p>They account for about 0.2% of all gastric tumors and are the most common site within the GIT</p> <p><b>Instead of writing that “it is usually diagnosed by histopathology “, they should write that histopathology is gold standard/ a definite diagnosis is given on histopathology .</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>When author describes the FNAC findings, it has been commented that mitosis were high... however in Histopathology they write that it was low. This poses as a discrepancy. Similarly in discussion part, they claim that CECT can easily differentiate between schwannoma and GIST, however in their case it was not diagnosed, hence please rephrase this also.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Good article, accept after revisions</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Saroona Haroon
Department, University & Country	King's Mill Hospital, UK