

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_83961
Title of the Manuscript:	GIANT CELL TUMOUR OF LOWER END OF TIBIA
Type of the Article	CASE REPORT

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrs.com/index.php/AJCRS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>First, I would like to express sincere gratitude to get the opportunity to review your manuscript.</p> <p>The effort of the author is appreciated, as the topic is interesting and promising. Congratulation for your results.</p> <p>After assessing the manuscript, the following issues raised my concern or represent suggestions that could in my opinion improv the quality of the manuscript:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- In terms of grammar the manuscript needs some changes, there are also large structures that in my opinion could be divided in smaller one to be more readable. Please reassess the typing and punctuation.- Regarding the introduction section of the manuscript there is no data mentioning the rate of recurrences based on the procedures. Please also address this topic as it is relevant.- In the introduction section you the manuscript you mention “7 months follow up” and you. performed the surgery in May 2020 (I understand from the case report section) so the last FU was more the 14 months ago?- “According to the radiological classification of Campanacci the tumour was classified as a grade 3 lesions (10)” so aggressive?- Did you perform intraoperatively fresh frozen section for histopathological examination?- The decision to perform a curettage and bone grafting was based on the macroscopic aspect of the tumor?- What about procedures to reduce the risk for local recurrence, various local adjuvants such as cryosurgery, phenol, bone cement, zoledronic acid, hydrogen peroxide (H2O2) and argon beam, and systemic treatments such as bisphosphonates, interferon alpha (IFN-a), and denosumab have been reported, what is your opinion? Did you used intraoperatively a high-speed burr?- Please update the reference list where it is possible. <p>As a personal opinion a regular follow-up if the patient is still needed.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rares Mircea Birlutiu
Department, University & Country	Foisor Clinical Orthopaedic Hospital Bucharest, Romania