Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_87726
Title of the Manuscript:	THE DEMOGRAPHICAL PREVALENCE OF MALARIA IN PREGNANT WOMEN IN OSHIMILI SOUTH LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF DELTA STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write
A D D D D D D D D D D		his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Good day,	
	I will like to start by appreciating the authors for their contributions to public health.	
	Abstract:	
	- Should start with a brief background,	
	- the use of a paired sample t-test is in appropriate,	
	- the prevalence should carry 95% CI,	
	- the validity of microscopy compared to PCR (gold standard) should include sensitivity,	
	specificity, PPV, NPV, LH+, LH-, AUC	
	- this study requires a Multivariable logistic regression analysis to control for confounders	
	- the recommendation of mandatory tests is already existent	
	- PCR should be included in the keywords	
	Background	
	- Check the reference (Rogerson et. Al., 2018)	
	- The background should include the rationale of the study	
	Methodology	
	- What motivated your choice of the study area	
	- Why the choice of 3 hospital out of how many??????	
	- Use probability proportionate to size to allocate the samples for the 3 hospital.	
	- Eligibility: please split into inclusion and exclusion criteria	
	- What sampling technique did you use for the pregnant women? Systematically is not a	
	sampling technique	
	- Remove the genotyping from the methodology since there was no speciation in the results or	
	better still add the speciation in the results.	
	- Ethically: include consent, add how the blood sample will be conserved and destroyed.	
	- Correlation does not apply for categorical variables, it does with continuous variables.	
	- Logistic regression should be used to identify the independent variables associated with MiP.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

	- How many samples did you select for PCR?????
	- Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, NPP, LH-, LH+ and AUC
	Results
	Results
	- Please start with socio-demographic and obstetric data of the participants
	- Prevalence in a pie chart, 95% Cl
	- Bivariate analysis table
	- Multivariate logistic regression
	- Table: Sensitivity, Specificity, PPV, NPP, LH-, LH+, AUC
	- ROC Curve
	Discussion
	- Good
	Recommendations
	- Toward the group that is more likely to have MiP
	- Care in interpreting microscopy results
	- Use of PCR in future
Minor REVISION comments	
Optional/General comments	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) None, need to explain issues with consent, privacy, confidentiality, how the blood will be managed etc	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yoah Aldof Tah
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)