

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_85579
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Evaluation of Sutherlandia frutescens and Tulbaghia violacea Antioxidant Potential
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbgmb.com/index.php/AJBGMB/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The work needs to add experiments of qualitative and quantitative assay of formed phytochemical compounds in each plant extracts	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	The comments are found in yellow colour in the attached article	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some paragraphs need to justify the writing font the present of references recently was 51.8% .	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zaizafoon Nabeel Nasif
Department, University & Country	Mohstansaryah University, Iraq