

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_83271
Title of the Manuscript:	A Simple and Effective Phenol-Chloroform method of DNA extraction from mammalian feces
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajbge.com/index.php/AJBGE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	This manuscript writing is good. It has an interesting and new topic. But the results and discussion section is very little explained, and new references are unused and part of the discussion is incomplete that needs to be completed.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Well written Should be complete results and discussion section	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	Include these references to your study  Carvajal-Agudelo JD, Trujillo-Betancur MP, Velásquez-Guarín D, Ramírez-Chaves HE, Pérez-Cárdenas JE, Rivera-Páez FA. Field blood preservation and DNA extraction from wild mammals: methods and key factors for biodiversity studies. Revista UDCA Actualidad & Divulgación Científica. 2021 Jun;24(1).  Janabi AH, Kerkhof LJ, McGuinness LR, Biddle AS, McKeever KH. Comparison of a modified phenol/chloroform and commercial-kit methods for extracting DNA from horse fecal material. Journal of microbiological methods. 2016 Oct 1;129:14-9.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatemeh Gholizadeh
Department, University & Country	University of Kurdistan, Iran