Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJB2T_83255**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Studies on the Amylolytic Enzymes Associated with the Black Mould Deterioration of Eko, a Nigerian Fermented Food**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://journalajb2t.com/index.php/AJB2T/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The substance of the manuscript entitled "Studies on the Amylolytic Enzymes Associated with the Black Mold Deterioration of Eko, a Nigerian Fermented Food" is good by obtaining the characteristics of amylase associated with the Black Mold Deterioration of Eko, a Nigerian Fermented Food". However, this manuscript needs improvement: -Abstracts should be standardized for writing abstract in scientific journal, introduction should contain the research aim in directly and if possible references for 2012 and above are above 50%, Research methods that do not have sources need to be added references, Results and Discussion need to be improved by supporting related references if available, especially for Figures which no supporting the references, with all titles in the figures that describe it must be directly what are shown in the figures, the conclusion must be made by briefly describing the summary of the research results ending with the conclusion and followed by recommendation or future prospect, and references should or if possible use references in 2012 and above by above 50% (ideally 80%)**	
Minor REVISION comments	**Manuscript writing should use standard English scientific journals**	
Optional/General comments	**In general, this manuscript is substantially good, but improvements need to be made, especially in writing abstract and making conclusion. Introduction and list of references if possible sourced from references in 2012 and above by above 50% (ideally 80%). The introduction should contain the research aim in directly and the stages of research methods for which there are no sources need to add references, and discussion in Figures for which there are no supporting related references need to add the references if available, with writing a description of the titles of the Figures should describe directly what are shown in each Figure.**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** ***(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)***
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	***(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)***	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Tatik Khusniati**
Department, University & Country	**National Research and Innovation Agency (BRIN), Indonesia**