

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_82848
Title of the Manuscript:	Production of Cellulase and Amylase Enzymes in both Solid and Liquid States by Two Species of Fungi
Type of the Article	Research article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajb2t.com/index.php/AJB2T/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The study carried out the screen of fungal species able to produce amylase and cellulase enzymes. Some questions should be solved and explicated in the article:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. What type of plant species the isolates were isolated from? What procedure was adopted to do this?</li><li>2. The isolates were purified?</li><li>3. What procedure was adopted to guarantee the only fungus species there are in the isolates? There was the possibility of other microorganisms development in the isolates, such as bacteria or fungus?</li><li>4. What spores concentration was used for enzymes activity analysis?</li><li>5. There was adopt a control experimental?</li><li>6. Include the ANOVA's table in the results.</li></ol>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The English language of the manuscript needs revision by a specialist and native English reviewer and references should be updated.</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gabriela da Rocha Lemos Mendes
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Minas Gerais–UFMG, Brazil