

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_82979
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HEARING ACUITY AMONG COMMERCIAL MOTORCYCLISTS (OKADA RIDERS) IN THE MAIN CAMPUS OF ABIA STATE UNIVERSITY UTURU ABIA STATE NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Review Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic of this paper is interesting, and the research is valuable. However, there are some grammar errors shown in this paper. I have already revised the Abstract and some parts for the author. I hope the author can revise the remaining parts. I recommend the software Grammarly. It can revise some fundamental grammar errors in your paper. A good journal should be written in a very professional scientific thinking and language, so I recommend the author organize the whole context logically and revise his paper according to some reputational SCI journals (with high impact factors).	
Minor REVISION comments	For all the Figures in this paper, please explain why these figure needs to be shown in this paper. For example, in Figure 1, two "I" shape symbols are shown at the top of the histogram. Please explain a bit of this. The resolution is also an essential factor in improving the paper acceptance rate for a high-quality paper. (1) When adding notation (words, numbers) on an original paper, it is better to be edited in a Microsoft software (PowerPoint or Word) and group them. Then, insert to the Microsoft Word. In this way, the resolution of the notation shown on each picture will have the same resolution as the words in this paper. (2) For all Figures, it is better to use Microsoft Excel to generate the figure then import it to Word. Because the software of Microsoft has better compatibility, the format of the Figures in this paper is a little messy. Therefore, I recommend the author can change all the formats of Figures in this paper.	
Optional/General comments	N/A.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wenchen Ma
Department, University & Country	University of Nevada, USA