

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_87682
Title of the Manuscript:	Mushroom cultivation for Increasing income and sustainable development of small and marginal farmers
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The title reflects the content of the manuscript. The current study is an opinion-based article on a topical issue of general interest, which is intended to generate discussion. - has a content of 2500 words, 1 table, 1 figure and up to 15 references - the content of the manuscript is presented in a less scientific scholastic. - The abstract contains up to 300 words - the abstract is less concise, it is theoretically not within the typology of the scientific abstract. - the abstract has no keywords. - introduction is described extensively, studies on the current state of mushroom cultivation in INDIA, mushroom types, table 1 and figure could be briefly introduced in the material and method. - material and method must be remade with the addition of the suggestions mentioned above. - there are no results and discussions in the article, the progress made by the students could be considered - there are no conclusions in the article, also the future perspectives could be considered. - the authors of the text, had to be specified e.g. [1] .. - the authors of the bibliography had to be numbered in the order of their appearance in the text. - the table and the figure are not specified in the text of the article	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	- the article must be completely revised, the information presented must be correctly included in the standard editorial sections (introduction, material and method, results and discussions, conclusions).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Placinta Domnica Daniela
Department, University & Country	Suceava Genebank, Romania