

## Original Research Article

# Seed Germination, Vegetative Growth and Flowering Performance of Cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.) in Response to Different Potting Media

**Comment [m1]:** The title is ok

### ABSTRACT

The study was carried out to estimate germination percentage, vegetative growth and flowering performance of Cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.) in response to different potting media. The trial was laid out in randomized complete block (RCB) design giving equal significance to all treatment with three replications during the cropping year 2019 at Horticulture Garden, Department of Horticulture, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan. Aim of the research was to evaluate the best potting media and select the best segregating genotype of Cockscomb for superior performance on flowering traits for Tandojam location. [1] Analysis of variance revealed that the significantly difference for all the characters of Cockscomb varieties in response to different potting media except electrolyte leakage of leaf. On the basis of present findings ( $T_4$ ) indicated maximum seed germination percentage (87.50%), germination index (1.77), plant height (36.55 cm), seedling vigor index (3394.2), fresh weight of shoot (56.40 g), fresh weight of root (13.35 g), fresh weight of a single flower (16.97 g), days taken to initiate flowering (74.88 days), number of flowers per plant (5.05) and chlorophyll content of leaf (36.08%) for both variety of Cockscomb. To compare mean of the ( $G_2$ ) obtained better results in comparison to variety ( $G_1$ ). The interaction of the varieties and potting media was highly significant in  $G_2$  from the plants grown in ( $T_4$ ) potting media. However, minimum days taken to initiate flowering (45.88 days) and maximum days taken to initiate flowering (78.44 days) was observed in  $G_1$  ( $T_4$ ) potting media. This study showed that based on the potting media performance of the Cockscomb varieties showed maximum performance in ( $T_4$ ) potting media. The Cockscomb varieties ( $G_1$  and  $G_2$ ) may be choice of cultivar for achieving superior performance on flowering traits for Tandojam location. Other potting media along with variety and geographical locations might be explored for future research activities.

**Comment [m2]:** Please follow the authors guideline

**Please also consider** Aims, Study design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology: Results, and Conclusion:

**Comment [m3]:** Methods of data collection and analysis is not clear

**Keywords:** Cockscomb; Potting media; Germination; Vegetative; Flowering performance

**Comment [m4]:** Don't repeat words which are indicating in your title

### 1. INTRODUCTION

**Comment [m5]:**

Cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.), belongs to Amaranthaceae that is mostly grown for use in landscape, regardless there are some hybrid land racers commercially used as cut flowers too. [its flower resemblance to rooster head for which it is termed as Cockscomb [1]. The name Celosia is derived from the Greek word 'Kelos' means 'burn' which describes the flame like colour and inflorescence. Flower heads may be harvested for fresh sales or dried for sale as everlasting flowers or used in making potpourri. It is one of the most versatile annual herbaceous plants with different colours, ranging from shades of red, purple, gold, orange and yellow including multicolored flower heads and it is a decorative flowering annual grown in warm countries mainly in the tropical and subtropical region [2]. In Pakistan, Cockscomb is locally termed as Kalgha and is sown during March-April and September to January as a beautiful ornamental plant and cut flowers. Due to versatile flower colors *C. cristata* has a great economic value as a cut flower through-out the world. Its demand as a cut flower has been on inclined because of attractive shapes and better vase life. Variant environmental conditions of Sindh (Pakistan) have made the Cockscomb cultivation difficult [1].

**Comment [m6]:** Incomplete sentence

Cockscomb is grown as an annual ornamental plant and ornamental plants needs growing media plus adequate water retention and aeration Erstad and Gislerød [3] plus fertilizer formulation that assure a continuous nutrient [4]. Suitable growing media are essential for quality flower production as these affect development and maintenance of plant rooting system [5,6]. Garden topsoil is commonly used by most of the growers for raising most of the flower crops, however, it is a nonrenewable resource and sustainable flower production cannot rely on non-renewable natural resources [7]. Moreover, phenomenal and infrastructure development immediately reduces affective availability the quality of subsoil which necessitates use of alternate soilless substrates for flower production. Besides soil is the main cause of the pathogens. The container production of ornamental plants have depended most entirely on quality soil-fewer medium derived from both organic and inorganic component [8]. Soilless media have shown trendy with the majority of producers' causes of consistency, accomplished aeration, reproducibility, and low bulk density that reduce shipping and handling costs of the moderate itself and of the finished plants [9]. A significant relationship exists between different growing media combinations and flower yield and quality parameters [10].

Nowadays it is very common to grow container plants in various commercial growing media instead of plantation in the soil and this can be possible solution against soil related problems [11]. Cocopeat is an agricultural outgrowth access subsequently the eradication of fiber from the coconut husk. As a growing media, cocopeat could be used for production a numeral of crop species among the tolerable condition at the tropics. Cocopeat is treated as a best growing medium composing among adequate pH, EC including other chemical aspect. Coco peat have been accepted to have more water holdings capacity that causes low air-water analogy, dominant to poor aeration within the media, thusly stirring the O<sub>2</sub> diffusion to the roots. Natural components of cocopeat are more dependent on it processing technique and handling and the air space and water withholding of the material could be vary from 11-53 and from 50-81% respectively [5]. Bagasse exists of almost 50% cellulose and 25% each of hemicelluloses and lignin. Chemically, bagasse consists about 50%-cellulose, 30% pentose and 2.4% ash. Cause of its poor ash contented, bagasse attempt numerous advantages for usage in bioconversion processes using microbial cultures. Further, in similarity to other agricultural residues, bagasse could be conceded as a rich solar energy reservoir due to its great yields including annual regeneration capacity [12]. So there is a need to optimize protocol for growing media by using cheap source of materials of local premises that are easily available in large scale for raising of healthy and quality plants of cockscomb.

To enhance consumer's attraction and better sell income, flower size plays a significant contribution. Its production under unfavorable climate has been neglected for that no research in the past has been initiated to explore this natural gift, therefore, present research has been initiated to find out the most appropriate potting media on Cockscomb to commercialize its vegetative quality and flower production under the subtropical environment of Sindh, Pakistan. Present study has been planned to investigate the proper potting media for Cockscomb for good growth, best flower quality and maximum production under environmental conditions of Tandojam to promote this beautiful ornamental cut flower.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Present experiment was conducted at Horticulture Garden, Department of Horticulture, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam during the year 2019. The experiment was laid out in randomized complete block (RCB) design with three replications per treatment. Seeds of two Cockscomb genotypes G1 (Amigo Red) and G2 (Prestige scarlet) were planted in different potting media T<sub>1</sub> (100% Canal sediment), T<sub>2</sub> (75% Canal sediment + 25% FYM), T<sub>3</sub> (50% Canal sediment + 50% Coco peat), T<sub>4</sub> (25% Canal sediment + 50% bagasse + 25% Coco peat) and T<sub>5</sub> (25% Canal sediment + 70% bagasse + 5% Coco peat) in the earthen pots. The trial was laid out in randomized complete block design (RCBD) giving equal significance to all treatment replicated thrice. Observations recorded on Seed germination percentage (SGP), Days to germination time (DGT), Germination index (GI), Plant

**Comment [m7]:** Please indicate the scope, main research questions to be answered by this research and the way how to answer here in your introduction part  
What makes this research is novel (novelty is one of the requirement in the improvement of the science...

**Comment [m8]:** For better explanation and illustration your design with three replications per treatment. Please express /show the picture how to laid out your treatments in the garden

height (PH), Seedling vigor index (SVI), Fresh weight of shoot (FWS), Fresh weight of root (FWR), Fresh weight of a single flower (FWSF), Days taken to initiate flowering (DTIF), Number of flowers per plant (NFPP), Electrolyte leakage of leaf (ELL), Chlorophyll content of leaf (CCL).

Seed germination percentage (SGP) was calculated as the cumulative number of germinated seeds with normal radicles by following formula Larsen and Andreasen [13]:

Formula 1:

$$SGP = \sum n \left[ \dots \right]$$

where  $n$  is number of seeds that had germinated at each counting.

Comment [m9]: Equation (1)

Mean germination time (MGT) in days was calculated according to Ellis and Roberts [14]:

Formula 2:

$$MGT = \frac{\sum (Dn)}{\sum n} \left[ \dots \right]$$

where  $n$  is number of seeds germinated on day  $D$ , and  $D$  is number of days counted from the beginning of the germination test.

Comment [m10]: Equation (2)

Germination index (GI) was estimated by the following equation by Khaleghi [15].

Formula 3:

$$GI = \frac{\text{Germinated seed number at the first day}}{\text{Days to the first counting}} + \frac{\text{Germinated seed number at the last day}}{\text{Days to the last counting}}$$

Comment [m11]: Equation (3)

The following equation was used to estimate seed vigor index (SVI) by Agrawal [16].

Formula 4:

$$SVI = \frac{\text{Mean plumule length (in mm)} \times \text{Germination percentage}}{100}$$

Comment [m12]: Equation (4)

Electrolyte leakage of leaf (%) was measured by taking 1 cm<sup>2</sup> leaf disc and weighing 0.5 g from random samples of leaf. The leaf disc was rinsed well with deionized water prior to incubation in 25 ml of deionized water for 3 hours at room temperature. After incubation, the conductivity values (value A) of the bathing solution were measured with the conductivity meter. The petal a disc was boiled with bathing solution for 15 minutes to lyses all cells. After cooling at room temperature, the conductivity (value B) of the bathing solution was again measured. The electrolyte leakage was expressed as percent value according to the formula given below:

Formula 5:

$$\text{Electrolyte Leakage of leaf (\%)} = \frac{\text{Value A}}{\text{Value B}} \times 100$$

Comment [m13]: Equation (5)

Besides, all the equations must be put in the equation format

Chlorophyll content was taken with a portable chlorophyll meter using SPAD Chlorophyll Meter. The collected data were statistically analyzed by SAS Software Package, and the means were compared by the LSD test at the 1% and 5% probability levels.

### 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.1. Germination Characters

##### 3.1.1. Seed germination percentage (SGP)

The analysis showed significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) influence of potting media on the seed germination of Cockscomb. The results regarding the seed germination percentage of Cockscomb varieties as influenced by different potting media has been demonstrated in Table 1. The data indicates that mean of seed germination percentage (SGP) ranges (50.00 to 82.50%), the highest  $T_4$  (90.00%) in G2 and lowest observed in  $T_1$  (G1). That mean of seed germination was significantly influenced by different potting media, varieties and varieties  $\times$  different potting media interaction. Our present experiments most similarly effect of different potting media on the seed germination percentage were observed considerably [17,18].

##### 3.1.2. Days to germination time (DGT)

The results regarding the days to germination time of Cockscomb varieties indicates that mean of the potting media ranges (2.66 to 4.50 days) in Table 1. It has been found from the results that the Cockscomb plant took minimum days to seed germination time (3.41 days) in  $T_4$  (G1) and maximum found in  $T_2$  (G2). However, statistically Cockscomb seeds took similar time for seed germination in  $T_1$  and  $T_5$  potting media. These results are better than the results G2 (4.91 days) took in  $T_2$  potting medium. To compare cockscomb varieties, G2 took more mean times (3.85 days) for germination as compared to cockscomb G1 (3.10 days). Present study is in accordance with Akinbode *et al.* [19] who reported that the germination was prompt in seeds of *A. cruentus* (3.22 days) and *C. olitorus* (4.44 days) under late sowing 25<sup>th</sup> March while the seeds of *D. regia* germinated more on 10<sup>th</sup> April. Whereas, germination of *C. argentea* and *A. esculentus* at early sowing 15<sup>th</sup> February had no main effect.

##### 3.1.3. Germination index (GI)

Germination index varied highly significant were different potting media as presented in Table 1. It indicates that all the potting media treatments had statistically same germination index except ( $T_4$ ) treatment. The highest observed  $T_4$  (1.77) in G1 and lowest germination index was observed  $T_1$  (0.78) in (G1). Based on varieties no significant differences were observed for germination index and ranges from 1.32 (G1) to 1.67 (G2). Dubey *et al.* [20] concluded that soil + sewage sludge as growing media for petunia have high positive effect and significantly improved most germination index included number of leaves and branches per plant. The desirable impact of different potting media on germination index has been reported by many studies [17,21,22].

##### 3.1.4. Seedling vigor index (SVI)

The seedling vigor index is calculated on the basis of shoot length percentage of seed germination. The analysis of variance demonstrated that seedling vigour index of cockscomb was significantly affected by different potting media while, the variation in seedling vigour index was significant between varieties as well as for interaction of varieties  $\times$  different potting media levels. It was observed from the results (Table 2). That seedling vigour index was relatively higher mean of cockscomb variety in  $T_4$  (3394.2) as compared to the ( $T_1$ ) variety cockscomb G1 (1146.7). The different potting media showed that the seedling vigour index of cockscomb was highest G2 (5143.3) in pots ( $T_4$ ), while the seedling vigour index was relatively lower G1 (765.0) in ( $T_1$ ), respectively. Our present experiment strongly supported by [23,24].

#### 3.2. Plant height (PH)

The results regarding the plant height of Cockscomb as inferences by different potting media have been presented in Table 1. The analysis submitted significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) effect of sowing dates on the Cockscomb height; It has been cleared from the data that the Cockscomb mean was found in  $T_4$  (36.55 cm), followed by 30.71 obtained ( $T_5$ ) and statistically similar results observed in the  $T_1$  (23.63 cm),  $T_2$  (24.96 cm) and  $T_3$  (26.03 cm) respectively. Maximum plant height was observed G2 (51.43 cm) in  $T_4$  and lowest found in  $T_1$  compared to G1 (15.30 cm). These results are supported by Zeb *et*

**Comment [m14]:** The research lack graphical/pictorial illustrations, that might be easily understand the process and output of the research

The discussion part is not well evaluated in comparison with other related and positively or negatively correlated research outputs

*al.* [25] who observed plant length of 47cm in gladiolus when planted on 15 September as mid planting time. Obe *et al.* [26] revealed that the sowing dates is one of the methods employed to improve the sprouting %, growth and flower traits of major ornamentals.

### 3.3. Fresh weight of shoot (FWS)

This study shows that the average maximum fresh weight of shoot of cockscomb was the highest found in T<sub>4</sub> (56.40g), followed by the results obtained in T<sub>5</sub> (36.34 g). The highest fresh weight of shoot T<sub>4</sub> (60.15 g) was observed when the cockscomb seeds were grown in (T<sub>4</sub>) potting medium where only canal silt (100%) was used. In case of varieties, G2 (36.37 g) and G1 (31.05 g) produced statistically similar fresh weight of shoot presented in **Table 2**. However, interactive effect of the varieties and potting media was also non-significant and ranges from 14.21 to 60.15 g of fresh weight of shoot. These findings are comparable to the results of Yarnia *et al.* [27] in Amaranth; Kazaz *et al.* [28] in Carnation and Emami *et al.* [29] in Lilium longiflorum.

### 3.4. Fresh weight of root (FWR)

The data (**Table 2**) indicates that average maximum fresh weight of root of cockscomb was found in T<sub>4</sub> (13.35 g), followed by (10.15 g) obtained in T<sub>5</sub> potting medium. The minimum fresh weight of root (6.25 g) was observed when the cockscomb plants were grown in (T<sub>1</sub>) potting medium where only canal sediments (100%) was used. Maximum fresh weight of root G2 (14.31 g) was observed in (T<sub>4</sub>) and lowest found G1 (8.15 g) in the T<sub>1</sub> potting medium. The results are comparable to the findings of Kishan *et al.* [30] and Verma *et al.* [31].

### 3.5. Fresh weight of a single flower (FWSF)

The results for the single flower weight of Cockscomb under the different potting media have been demonstrated in **Table 2**. On the basis of interaction, the mean fresh weight of a single flower ranges from 9.27 g to 20.14 g. To compare varieties in different potting media, G2 had maximum fresh weight of a single flower (16.31 g) in comparison to G1 (10.89 g). Zeb *et al.* [25] reported that 44.29 g of flower weight was obtained when sown on late April. Ismail *et al.* [32] observed that date of planting highly influenced the vegetative and flowering parameters of Tagetes. Baloch *et al.* [33] found that when Cockscomb sown early (Sept-December) flower weight (5.67 g) reduced drastically under low temperature. Blanchard and Runkle [34] found that plants sown above optimum temperature might have produced reduced flowers.

### 3.6. Days taken to initiate flowering (DTIF)

Present findings indicates that average maximum days taken to initiate flowering of cockscomb were 52.94 observed in T<sub>1</sub> where canal sediments (100%) were used solely and minimum mean days to flowering T<sub>5</sub> (48.88 days) were observed presented in **Table 3**. These results (47.88 days) obtained in (T<sub>4</sub>). To compare varieties, G2 took maximum mean days to flowering (52.66) in comparison to G1 (47.30 days). The interactive effect of the varieties and potting media had highly significant differences for days to initiate flowering took minimum G1 (47.21) days in T<sub>3</sub> potting media. These findings are in consistence with scientists [35,34] and as confirmed by Baloch *et al.* [33].

### 3.7. Number of flowers per plant (NFPP)

Our findings indicates that maximum mean number of flowers produced G2 (5.05) in (T<sub>4</sub>) with the results (2.11) obtained in T<sub>1</sub> potting medium. G2 had better results (3.79) for mean number of flowers than G1 (2.97) presented in **Table 3**. On the basis of interaction, maximum number of flowers G2 (6.66) produced in T<sub>4</sub> potting media. Production of maximum Number of flowers per plant was directly correlated with plant height which was mostly favored by temperature during development. These findings are in consistence with [25,35].

### 3.8. Electrolyte leakage of leaf (ELL)

The analysis of variance (**Table 3**) revealed that the electrolyte leakage of leaf in cockscomb vines was significantly influenced by different potting media levels and varieties while the variation in electrolyte leakage of leaf was not significant ( $P>0.05$ ) for interaction of varieties  $\times$  different potting media. Statistical analysis indicates that the maximum means of the potting media ranges from

65.22 to 76.62%. The varieties had also no differences. G2 and G1 had electrolyte leakage of leaf 73.51 and 70.66%. The interaction of the varieties and potting media ranges from 63.78 to 78.20%, respectively. These findings are in consistence with [36,37].

### 3.9. Chlorophyll content of leaf (CCL)

The chlorophyll content of leaf was observed the highest mean in variety G2 (33.52) than the G1 (29.80) presented in Table 3. To compare means of the potting media, the medium T<sub>4</sub> plants had more chlorophyll content of leaf than the rest of the potting media treatments. The potting medium where canal sediments were only the sole material or with FYM produced the lowest 28.58 and 28.75 chlorophyll content of leaf, respectively. The interactive effect of the varieties and potting media, T<sub>4</sub> medium grown plants had more chlorophyll content of leaf (39.53) in variety (G2). These findings are in accordance with the findings of Akinfasoye *et al.* [38] in Celosia; Akinfasoye *et al.* [29] similar results were obtained in Lily and Kumar *et al.* [39] found in the F<sub>5</sub> populations of Brassica species.

## 4. CONCLUSION

It was concluded that the Cockscomb genotypes showed maximum performance in germination, vegetative growth and flower characteristics due to (T<sub>4</sub>) potting media. The Cockscomb genotypes (G1 and G2) may be choice of cultivar for achieving superior performance on flowering traits for Tandojam location. Other potting media along with genotypes and geographical locations might be explored for future research activities.

**Comment [m15]:** Please clearly explain the implication of your study. What implies to users, scientific communities, and policy and others.

As an expert what do recommend to improve further this Cockscomb genotypes?

**Table 1.** Effect of Cockscomb varieties in response to different potting media.

Tret.	SGP			DGT			GI			PH (cm)		
	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	50.00	50.00	50.00c	2.91	3.66	3.29bc	1.02	0.78	0.90b	15.30e	31.96c	23.63c
T <sub>2</sub>	58.33	66.67	62.50bc	4.08	4.91	4.50a	1.23	0.86	1.04b	16.16de	33.76c	24.96c
T <sub>3</sub>	75.00	75.00	75.00ab	3.50	4.16	3.83ab	1.19	1.15	1.17b	17.06de	35.00c	26.03c
T <sub>4</sub>	75.00	100.00	87.50a	2.33	3.00	2.66c	1.95	1.60	1.77a	31.66d	51.43a	36.55c
T <sub>5</sub>	66.67	50.00	58.33bc	2.66	3.50	3.08bc	1.19	0.93	1.06b	18.23de	43.20b	30.71
Mean	65.0	68.33		3.10b	3.85a		1.32	1.67		17.68b	39.07a	

G1, Amigo Red; G2, Prestige scarlet; SGP, Seed germination percentage (%); DGT, Days to germination time (days); GI, Germination index; PH, Plant height (cm).

**Table 2.** Effect of Cockscomb varieties in response to different potting media.

Tret.	SVI			FWS			FWR			FWSF		
	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	765.0e	1528.3cde	1146.7c	14.21	18.60	16.40c	3.55	8.96	6.25c	10.12	13.95	12.03
T <sub>2</sub>	949.2e	2250.0bc	1599.6bc	24.25	30.30	27.28bc	6.68	10.87	8.78bc	10.12	15.17	12.64
T <sub>3</sub>	1280.0de	2590.0b	1935.0b	29.23	35.05	32.14b	8.33	11.61	9.97b	9.27	14.23	11.75
T <sub>4</sub>	1645.0cde	5143.3a	3394.2a	52.65	60.15	56.40a	12.39	14.31	13.35a	13.80	20.14	16.97
T <sub>5</sub>	1233.3de	2144.2bcd	1688.8bc	34.92	37.76	36.34b	9.80	10.51	10.15b	11.15	18.06	14.60
Mean	1174.5b	2731.2a		31.05	36.37		8.15b	11.25a		10.89b	16.31b	

G1, Amigo Red; G2, Prestige scarlet; SVI, Seedling vigor index; FWS, Fresh weight of shoot (g); FWR, Fresh weight of root (g); FWSF, Fresh weight of a single flower (g).

Table 3. Effect of Cockscomb varieties in response to different potting media

Tret.	DTIF			NFPP			ELL			CCL		
	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean	G1	G2	Mean
T <sub>1</sub>	49.11d	56.77a	52.94a	2.33cd	2.29cd	2.11b	70.62	72.36	71.49	24.70e	32.46bc	28.58c
T <sub>2</sub>	47.33e	53.33b	50.33b	2.66cd	1.66d	2.16b	74.17	77.22	75.69	29.40cd	28.10de	28.75c
T <sub>3</sub>	47.21e	52.55b	49.88bc	2.66cd	2.99cd	2.83b	69.70	73.10	71.40	31.36bcd	34.26b	32.81b
T <sub>4</sub>	45.88cd	49.88cd	47.88cd	3.44c	6.66a	5.05a	75.05	78.20	76.62	32.63bc	39.53a	36.08a
T <sub>5</sub>	46.99e	50.77c	48.88cd	3.77bc	5.33a	4.55a	63.78	66.66	65.22	30.90bcd	33.26b	32.08b
Mean	47.30b	52.66a		2.97b	3.79a		70.66	73.51		29.80B	33.52a	

G1, Amigo Red; G2, Prestige scarlet; DTIF, Days taken to initiate flowering (days); NFPP, Number of flowers per plant (no.); ELL, Electrolyte leakage of leaf (%); CCL, Chlorophyll content of leaf (%).

## REFERENCES

- Wilkinson CB. Year of the Celosia, Chili Pepper. National Garden Bureau. 2006; 37.
- Okusanya OL. Germination and growth of *Celosia cristata* L. under various temperature regimes. *Amer. J. Bot.* 1980; 67(6): 854-858.
- Erstad JLF, Gislerød HR. Water uptake of cuttings and stem pieces as affected by different anaerobic conditions in the rooting medium. *Scientia Hort.* 1994; 58:151-160.
- Macz O, Paparozzi ET, Stroup WW. Effect of nitrogen and sulfur applications on pot chrysanthemum production and postharvest performance, Leaf nitrogen and sulfur concentrations. *J. Plant Nutri.* 2001; 24:111-129.
- Awang Y, Shaharom AS, Mohamad RB, Selamat A. 2009. Chemical and physical characteristics of cocopeat based media mixtures and their effects on the growth and development of *Celosia cristata*. *Amer. J. Agric. Biol. Sci.* 2009; 4:63-71.
- Kampf AN. The substrate commercial production of ornamental plants. Guaba: Agric. 2000; pp.254.
- Marianthi T. 2006. Kenaf (*Hibiscus cannabinus* L.) core and rice hulls as components of container media for growing *Pinushelipansis* M. seedlings. *Biores. Technol.* 2006; 97:309-321.
- Ahmed I, Ahmed T, Gulfam A, Saleem M. Growth and flowering of gerbera as influenced by various horticultural substrates. *Pak. J. Bot.* 2012; 44:291-299.
- Nazari F, Farahmand H, Khosh-Khui M, Salehi H. 2011. Effects of coir as a component of potting media on growth, flowering and physiological characteristics of hyacinth (*Hyacinthusorientalis* L. cv. Sonbol-e-Irani). *Int. J. Agric. Food Sci.* 2011; 1(2):34-38.
- Panj FG, Kumari S, Parmar PB. 2014. Effect of growing media properties and its correlation study in Gerbera production. *The Bioscan.* 2014; 9(1):79-83.
- Khan MM, Khan MA, Abbas M, Jaskani MJ, Ali MA, Abbas H. 2006. Evaluation of potting media for the production of rough lemon nursery stock. *Pak. J. Bot.* 2006; 28(3):623-629.
- Pandey A, Soccol CR, Nigam P, Soccol VT. 2000. Biotechnological potential of agro-industrial residues. I: sugarcane bagasse. *Biores. Technol.* 2000; 74:69-80.
- Larsen SU, Andreasen C. 2004. Light and heavy turf-grass seeds differ in germination percentage and mean germination thermal time. *Crop Sci.* 2004; 44:1710-1720.
- Ellis RH, Roberts EH. 1981. The quantification of ageing and survival in orthodox seeds. *Seed Sci. Technol.* 1981; 9:373-409.
- Khaleghi A, Moallemi N. The Effect of Different Levels of Salinity and Temperature on Seed Germination of Cocks Comb. *Journal of Plant Production Research.* 2015; 16, 149-163.
- Agrawal R. Seed Technology. Oxford Press, New Delhi, India. 2003; 829 p.
- Sohra M, Moreiras JS, González L. Ecophysiological approach in allelopathy. *Criminal Reviews in Plant Science.* 2013; 18(1):577-608.

18. Rahman M, Miyake SM, Young T. Salinity-induced ultrastructural alternations in leaf cells of rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Plant Production Science. 2000; 45(3):422-429.
19. Akinbode FO, Adekunle AA, Kehinde PA. Effect of light stress on germination and growth parameters of *Corchorus olitorius*, *Celosia argentea*, *Amaranthus cruentus*, *Abelmoschus esculentus* and *Delonix regia*. Not Sci Biol. 2013; 5(4): 468-475.
20. Dubey RK, Singh S, Kukal SS, Kalsi HS. Evaluation of different organic growing media for growth and flowering of *Petunia*. Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis. 2013; 44(12):1777 – 1785.
21. Farooq M, Basra SMA, Rehman H. Seed Priming Enhances Emergence, Yield, and Quality of Direct-Seeded Rice. International Rice Research Notes. 2006; 31, 42-46.
22. Kaya MD, Okcu G, Atak M, Cıkılı Y, Kolsarıcı O. Seed Treatments to Overcome Salt and Drought Stress during Germination in Sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). European Journal of Agronomy. 2006; 24, 291-295.
23. Nabati J, Kafi M, Nezami A, Moghaddam PR, Masoumi A, Mehrjerdi MZ. Effect of salinity on biomass production and activities of some key enzymatic antioxidants in burning bush (*Kochia scoparia*). Pakistan Journal of Botany. 2011; 99(43):539-548.
24. Khan Z, Gola AQ, Abro JA, Badini MA, Aziz T, Mahesar MA, Kasi MU. Effect of sodium chloride (NaCl), concentration on seed germination and vegetative growth of cockscomb (*Celosia cristata* L.). Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies. 2019; 7(1): 495-499.
25. Zeb N, Sajid M, Khattak AM, Hussain I. Effect of potassium and maleic hydrazide on growth and flower quality of chrysanthemum (*Dendranthema grandiflorum*). Sarhad Journal of Agriculture. 2015; 31(4): 210-216.
26. Obe HA, Lakwannah GY, Joshua DB, Adekola OF, Olorunmaiye KS. Effect of hydropriming on the growth performance of Three Vegetables; *Corchorus olitorius*, *Celosia argentea* and *Abelmoschus esculentus*. Jewel Journal of Scientific Research. 2015; 3(1): 165-172.
27. Yarnia M, Benam MBK, Tabrizi EFM, Nobari N, Ahmadzadeh V. Effect of planting dates and density in drought stress condition on yield and yield components of Amaranth cv. Koniz. Advances in Environmental Biology. 2011; 5(6): 1139-1149.
28. Kazaz S, Tekintas FE, Askin MA. Effects of different planting systems and densities on yield and quality in standard Carnations. Cell& Plant Sciences. 2011; 2(1): 19-23.
29. Emami H, Saeidnia M, Olfati JA, Hasani M. Study on lily longevity treated with growth regulator (GA3 and BA) by path analysis. American–Eurasian J. Agric. and Environ. Sci. 2011; 10(5): 814-820.
30. Kishan, S, Singh KP, Raju DVS. Vegetative growth, flowering and seed characters of african marigold (*Tagetes erecta* Linn.) as influenced by different growth substances during mild off seasons. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture. 2007; 10(4): 268- 270.
31. Verma, VK, Sehgal OP, Shiman SR. Effect of nitrogen and GA3 on Carnation. Journal of Ornamental Horticulture (New series). 2000; 3(1): 64.
32. Ismail RF, Kandeel AM, Ibrahim AK, Omer EA. Effect of planting date and plant spacing on growth, yield and essential oil of Mexican marigold (*Tagetes lucida* L.) cultivated in Egypt. J Appl Sci Res. 2013; 9: 330-340.
33. Baloch JD, Khan MQ, Zubair M, Munir M. Effect of different sowing dates on flowering time of important ornamental annuals. Gomal Univ J Res. 2009; 25: 10-19.
34. Blanchard MG, Runkle ES. Quantifying the thermal flowering rates of eighteen species of annual bedding plants. Scientia Horticulturae. 2011; 128: 30-37.
35. Porat R, Shlomo E, Halevy AH. Horticultural techniques to improve *Celosia plumosa* growth for cut flowers. Scientia Horticulturae. 2000; 63: 209-214.
36. Soleimani A, Ahmad T. Performance of some soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill) cultivars under salinity stress to germination characters. Italian Journal of Agronomy Agriculture Research. 2012; 6(3):48-56

37. Larsen S, Andreassen C. Light and heavy turf-grass seeds differ in germination percentage and mean germination thermal time. *Journal of Crucial Science*. 2004; 44(33):1710-1720
38. Akinfasoye JA, Ogunniyan DJ, Akanbi WB, Olufolaji AO. Effects of organic fertilizer and spacing on growth and yield of Lagos spinach (*Celosia Argentea* L.). *Journal of Agriculture and Social Research (JASR)*. 2008; 8(1): 70-77.
39. Kumar S, Ali B, Khaldun ABM, Islam SS, Uddin MS, Akanda MAL and Miah MS. Genetic Diversity, Correlations and Path Coefficient Analysis among the F5 Populations of Brassica Species. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research*. 2021; 16(2):20-31.

UNDER PEER REVIEW