

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90351
Title of the Manuscript:	Value chain analysis of leather in Thirupathur district of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>-In the abstract, this sentence has an unclear meaning;</b> The study had an objective of mapping and analysing the market efficiency of various channels from the study, there were three major channels were found in this study area</p> <p><b>-For consistency, use either the short form of “and” (&amp;) in the entire document or write it completely in the entire document. An example of such inconsistency is seen on page 2 as seen below;</b></p> <p>saddlery &amp; harness. In 2020, India has 20 per cent of the cow and buffalo population and 11 per cent of the goat and sheep</p> <p><b>CHECK THIS INCONSISTENCY IN THE ENTIRE MANUSCRIPT</b></p> <p><b>-The manuscript has some punctuation issues. A case in point is on page 3 in the sentences below;</b></p> <p>“In leather manufacturing various value addition activities were involved so it is necessary to understand the value chain of leather industry this study was taken up with the following objectives”</p> <p><b>-Another punctuation issue is on page 10 in the sentence below;</b></p> <p>More than one method was used to check the accuracy of efficiency Manivenkatesh (2017) in his study on the value chain analysis used this similar method</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>-still in the abstract, it is seen that the number of objective does not match with the number of results obtained. It could be preferable to match the number of objectives to the results obtained ie if you have two objectives, you should equally have two results. The objectives are clearly segmented in the paper (page 3)</p> <p>Alternatively outline the general and specific objectives while giving the results of all specific objectives.</p> <p>-The sentence below (page 2) requires statistics and or source</p> <p>“key customers as well as consumer categories in the global value chain of leather and leather products are located in the USA, Australia, and Japan. Hong Kong has also emerged as a large global importer of semi-finished and finished leather for value addition and export”</p> <p>-The conclusion is too brief and presents only results and a brief policy recommendation. This conclusion can be extended to include some basic background and the main problem to be solved. Expand and detail your policy recommendation.</p> <p>-References are highly dominated by web-sites sources and lack consistency. Try as much as possible to follow a single referencing style eg APA etc. Examples of such inconsistencies include;</p> <p>Jasdanwalla, Z.1966. “Marketing efficiency in Indian agriculture”. “Marketing efficiency in in Indian agriculture”</p> <p>Regan, Tom (2004). <i>Empty Cages: Facing the Challenge of Animal Rights</i>. Rowman &amp; Littlefield.</p> <p>P. 120. ISBN 9780742549937</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The problem statement of this paper is not clearly outlined. The paper is globally good.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kenfack Geraud Francis
Department, University & Country	The University of Dschang, Cameroon