

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_90096
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness level of Geographical indication (GI) on Madurai Malli
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	GI tag is an important indicator GI tag is an important indicator that guarantees the affiliation of a product as well as its quality. Also, the GI positive influence the price also making possible the easy sale of it due to the guaranteed origin and quality. Owning a GI tag allow the increase of local community wellbeing through increase the payment amount and increasing jobs availability. The lake of information in this respect in the local community is sad. Is necessary the government implication in order to increase the level of information. This current study is opportune from all point of view-financial, legal, for increased level of knowledge and meaning and importance of GI tag. All of these can increase sale operations on local, national and international market.	
Minor REVISION comments	Article should be check for grammatical issues Check journal formatting	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Neamt Radu Ionel
Department, University & Country	Romania