

Original Research Article

ADOPTION BEHAVIOUR OF FARMERS TOWARDS IMPROVED CULTIVATION PRACTICES OF SOYBEAN CULTIVATION IN DIMAPUR DISTRICT OF NAGALAND, INDIA

Comment [a1]: Repeated, consider deleting

Formatted: Highlight

Abstract

Soybean is known as “golden bean”, “miracle crop” etc, because of its several uses, It is an excellent source of protein and oil. Soybean is consider an important crop because of its dual purpose that is oil seed as well as pulses crop. In Nagaland, soybean is cultivated almost in all the districts.

Comment [a2]: Grammar

The present study entitled “Adoption Behaviour Of Farmers Towards Improved Cultivation Practices of Soybean Cultivation In Dimapur District Of Nagaland” was carried out during the session 2021-22. The investigation was conducted in Kuhuboto block of Dimapur district of Nagaland. A total number of 120 respondents from 6 villages were selected using proportionate random sampling procedure. The study was conducted with the objectives to find out the characteristics of soybean growers, the extent of knowledge and ascertain the extent of adoption by soybean growers and to delineate the constraints faced by the respondents and to obtain their suggestions.

Comment [a3]: No need repeating the title which is already given

An interview schedule based on the study was prepared and data were collected with the help of interview schedule and processed through primary and secondary tables and statistical analysis.

Comment [a4]: Incomplete sentence

Comment [a5]: Abstract should be written in one continuous paragraph

Comment [a6]: There is repetition, re-phrase

The respondents were contacted personally and interviewed.

The study revealed that majority of the soybean growers belonged to middle aged group, acquired Upper Primary school education, had medium level of annual income with small size of land holding. Majority of them had medium (10-20) years of farming experience, use of sources of information and medium level of extension contact. It was observed that the majority (70.84%) of the respondents had medium level of knowledge of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation followed by 13.33% having high and 15.83% having low knowledge level respectively. In respect of correlation analysis between knowledge level and the independent variables, it shows that annual income, land holding and extension contact had positive and

Comment [a7]: on

Comment [a8]: Repeated

found to be significant. Whereas, age, education, marital status, family type, family size, type of house, occupation, farming experience, mass media exposure and source of information was found to be non-significant. As for analysis between adoption level and the independent variables, it was revealed that variables like annual income, land holding and extension contact are significantly associated with adoption of soybean growers. Further, the variables age, education, marital status, type of family, family size, type of house, occupation, farming experience, mass media exposure and source of information was found to be non significant. The major constraints faced by the respondents were lack of proper resources and capital, high cost of inputs, lack of proper information at time, lack of consultancy, shortage of labors etc.

Comment [a9]: The abstract is too long (421 words). Give aims, methodology and Results in a summarized form

Key words: adoption, knowledge, soybean, Nagaland

Introduction

Soybean is considered economically the most important bean in the world, providing vegetable protein for millions of people. Soybean is botanically named as *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill belonging to the family Fabaceae also called leguminosae, in the subfamily Paovilonideae is native to Southeast Asia. The number of chromosomes in soybean is $2n = 2x=40$ and is a self-fertile species with less than 1% out-crossing.

Comment [a10]: Need a citation to support this claim

Comment [a11]: Citation required

Soybean is called the 'miracle bean' or 'vegetarian meat' or the 'golden bean' because it contain high quality of protein (40-42%) and edible oil (18-20%) containing major essential amino acid .Soybean is consider an important crop because of its dual purpose that is oil seed as well as pulses crop. It is the world's most important seed legume, which contributes 25% of the global edible oil, about two-third of the world's protein concentrated for livestock feeding (**Agarwal et al., 2013**).

In India, soybean was introduced from china in tenth century AD through the Himalayan routes, and also brought in via Burma (now Myanmar) by traders from Indonesia. As a result, soybean has been traditionally grown on small scale in Himachal Pradesh, the kumaon Hills of Uttar Pradesh (now Uttaranchal), eastern Bengal, the Khasi hills, Manipur, the Naga Hills, and parts of central India covering Madhya Pradesh.(**Agarwal et al.,2013**)

The worldwide production of soybean is estimated to be 365 million tones, which is cultivated globally on 121.53 million hectares. At present, India ranks fifth in the area and production in the world after Brazil, USA, Argentina and China. In India the top 3 largest soybean growing states are Madhya Pradesh, Maharashtra and Rajasthan. (Wikipedia)

Comment [a12]: Not captured in the list of References

In north east soybean is mostly utilized as pulses and fermented products. Axone (Nagaland, Hawaijar (Manipur), Bekang-um (Mizoram) and many other products made from soybean .

Comment [a13]: This cannot be understood, need to state this point clearly

In Nagaland, soybean is cultivated almost in all the districts. The area of soybean cultivation is estimated to be 24860 hectares. In Dimapur district, soybean covering an area of 2040 ha is the major oilseed crop of district grown in kharif season, 2520 MT of production and 1.23 t/ha of productivity (2013-2014). (Statistical Handbook of Nagaland 2017)

Comment [a14]: Should re-write to make the sentences short and clear

Materials and Methods

Location of the study area:

The study was conducted in Dimapur district of Nagaland. Nagaland state has a total area of 16,579 km² including 16,335.52 km² rural area and 243.48 km².

Comment [a15]: Incomplete sentence

The Dimapur District is located in the southwest of Nagaland. The vast majority of this area is flat with the Dhansiri River, a tributary of the Brahmaputra River flowing east of the city. The Dimapur District lies between 25°48' and 26°00' North latitude and 93°30' and 93°54' East longitude. The district is bounded by Assam on its North and West, Kohima on the East and Peren District in the South. The total area of the Dimapur is 927km sq. The district has a population of 379,769. (Census, 2011)

Comment [a16]: Cite the Author

The study was taken under the following objectives:

Comment [a17]: Move the objectives to the end of the introduction section

- i. To find out the Socio-economic characteristics of the soybean growers.
- ii. To analyse the knowledge level of soybean growers.

Comment [a18]: Be specific. What type of knowledge?

- iii. To ascertain the extent of adoption of improved practice in soybean cultivation by the farmers.
- iv. To identify the major constraints face by the soybean cultivators.

Comment [a19]: Be specific, which improved practice?

Results and Discussion:

Selection of Respondents

Comment [a20]: This should be in the methodology section

A total of 120 respondents were selected randomly from 6 villages based on the soybean growers for the present study. There are 16 villages in Kuhuboto block out of which 6 villages namely (Lotovi village, Suhoi , Tokugha, Nizhevi, S.Hotovi, Luzheto) were selected through purposive sampling based on maximum area under soybean production. Data was collected with the help of pre-structured interview schedule. The descriptive research design was used for the present research study at the month of April and May, 2022 where 120 number of farmers were interviewed from each selected (6) villages among soybean growers.

Comment [a21]: What does this mean?

The socio-economic variables selected for the study were age, education, family size, family type, land holding, annual income, farm experience, extension contact, mass media exposure and sources of information utilization resources

Statistical analysis of data

The entire data was transformed into normal score for tabulation. The independent variables as well as dependent variable were categorized as low, medium and high or the term applicable so far on the basis of score obtained. Keeping in view the objectives of the study and to draw logical conclusion the statistical tests i.e. frequency, percentage, mean, standard deviation and correlation coefficient were used for analyzing and interpretation of the data.

1. Socio-economic and personal characteristics of the respondents.

Majority (62.5%) of the respondents were middle aged people, (30.83%) of the respondents had education up to Upper primary school level, (Table 1). Majority (90.83%) of the respondents were married, (74.17%) had nuclear family,

Comment [a22]: Misplaced

(63.33%) had up to 5 members and (55.83%) of the respondents lived in semi-cemented house. (Table 1) It was also found that majority of the respondents had farming experiences between (10 to 20 years) in cultivation. (Table 1) A large number of the respondents had medium level of income. Most of the respondents also had social contacts with NSRLM. (Table 1) It was found that (49.17%) of respondents read newspaper sometimes, daily (61.67%) watch television and (79.17%) never listen to radio. (Table 1). Majority (64.17%) of the respondents were frequently interacting with progressive farmers, sometimes (54.17%) with neighbours, (64.17%) with relatives sometimes and (55.83%) frequently interact with friends. (Table 1)

Formatted: Highlight

Formatted: Highlight

Comment [a23]: This paragraph needs to be re-written to make it flow

Table 1. Socio-economic and personal characteristics of farmers among soybean growers.

S.no	Independent Variables	Category	Frequency	Percentage
1.	Age	Young(upto 35)	26	21.67
		Middle Age(36-55)	75	62.5
		Old(Above 55)	19	15.83
2	Education	Illiterate	11	9.17
		Lower Primary	35	29.17
		Upper Primary	37	30.83
		High School	18	15
		Secondary School	10	8.33
		Graduate and above	9	7.5
3	Marital Status	Married	109	90.83
		Unmarried	11	9.17
4	Type of family	Nuclear	89	74.17
		Joint	31	25.83
5	Size of family	Upto 5 members	76	63.33

Comment [a24]: Did you confirm that they are illiterate? Or that they have 'No Formal education'? 'No formal Education' is a better description for this category

		Above 5 members	44	36.67	
6	Type of house	Hut	30	25	
		Semi-cemented	67	55.83	
		Cemented	23	19.17	
7	Annual Income	Low(Less than 60,000)	39	32.5	
		Medium(60,000-1,20,000)	68	56.67	
		High(Above 1,20,000)	13	10.83	
8	Total land holdings	Marginal(<2 acre)	39	32.5	
		Small(2-4acre)	63	52.5	
		Medium(4-6)	14	11.67	
		Large(>6 acre)	4	3.33	
9	Farming Experience	Below 10 years	23	19.17	
		10-20 years	72	60	
		Above 20 years	25	20.83	
10	Extension contact	Low	15	12.5	
		Medium	59	49.17	
		High	46	38.33	
11	Mass media exposure	Radio	Daily	00	00
			Sometimes	25	20.83
			Never	95	79.17
		Television	Daily	74	61.67
			Sometimes	14	11.67
			Never	32	26.66
		Newspaper	Daily	20	16.67

Comment [a25]: What is the currency used?

			Sometimes	59	49.17
			Never	41	34.16
		Magazines	Daily	00	00
			Sometimes	00	00
			Never	120	100.00
12	Information sources utilization	Neighbor	Frequently	30	25
			Sometimes	65	54.17
			Never	25	20.83
	Friends		Frequently	67	55.83
			Sometimes	47	39.17
			Never	6	5.00
	Relatives		Frequently	15	12.50
			Sometimes	77	64.17
			Never	28	23.33
	Progressive Farmers		Frequently	77	64.17
			Sometimes	28	23.33
			Never	15	12.50

Comment [a26]: How did you distinguish between neighbor and Friend? There could be overlaps.

Knowledge Level of the Farmers

Table 2:- Distribution of the knowledge level of the respondents about improved cultivation practices of Soybean cultivation.

Sn	Statements	Knowledge level		
		Fully correct F (%)	Partially correct	Not correct

1.	Varieties recommended i. Local varieties ii. Improved and high yielding varieties	61 (50.83%)	33 (27.50%)	26 (21.67%)
2.	Sowing time (Mid June – End June)	98 (81.67%)	13 (10.83%)	9 (7.50%)
3	Seed treatment (Carbendazim or Thiram @2g/kg before sowing or talc formulation of Trichoderma viridae @4g/kg seed)	20 (16.67%)	19 (15.83%)	81 (67.50%)
4	Seed rate (55-65kg/ha with 30-45 cm spacing)	38 (31.67%)	63 (52.50%)	19 (15.83%)
5	Field preparation i. Deep ploughing in early summer to kill harmful insects and flies ii. Followed by spreading cow manure to enrich field iii. Well drained soil with pH 6.0-7.5	15 (12.50%)	95 (79.17%)	10 (8.33%)
6	Method of sowing i. Seed drill method	7 (5.84%)	22 (18.33%)	91 (75.83%)
7	Spacing i. RxR= 45-50cm ii. SxS= 4-7cm	45 (37.50%)	67 (55.83%)	8 (6.67%)
8	Recommended quantity of FYM to be applied - FYM@10t ha-1	13 (10.83%)	38 (31.67%)	69 (57.5%)
9	Methods of irrigation i. Irrigate immediately after sowing ii. Life irrigation on the 3 rd day iii. Intervals of 7-10 and 10-15 days during summer and winter season respectively	25 (20.83%)	87 (72.50%)	8 (6.67%)
10	Weed management i. Hand hoeing/traditional	39 (32.50%)	72 (60.00%)	9 (7.50%)

Comment [a27]: Did you include all these in the interview? Stick to what was asked during the interview.

	ii. Trifluralin and alachor or Triallate(1.0-1.5), PRE combination of Pendimethalin(0.5-0.75)			
11	Disease control i. Captan 19.55% and Carboxin 20.0% @5.0 oz. per cwt. ii. Tebuconazole 42.6% @3.0-4.0 fl. oz./acre for Rust + powdery mildew. iii. Anthracnose, cercospora leaf spot and pot and stem blight-foliage applied fungicides	11 (9.17%)	34 (28.33%)	75 (62.5%)
12	Pest control i. Deep summer ploughing ii. Light trap(200W mercury vapour lamp) and Pheromone traps at a distance of 50m @5 traps/ha for each insect pest. iii. Spray Chlorpyrifos 1.5% DP @1200 ml/ha or quinalphos25 EC @1.0 lit/ha iv. Soil application of phorate 10G @ 10 kg/ha or carbofuran 3 G @30 kg/ha at the time of sowing. v. Field sanitation: remove the infested plant parts at least once in 10days and bury them in compost pit to monitor and reduce the populations.	9 (7.5%)	26 (21.67%)	85 (70.83%)
13	Harvesting - Leaves start falling and pods look dry - Moisture should not be more than 14percent - Dry harvesting crop for 8-10days at the threshing floor	94 (78.33%)	26 (21.67%)	-
14	Yield	52	48	20

Comment [a28]: What was the question posed to the farmers ?

Comment [a29]: Did the authors expect the farmers to recall this? Not realistic

Comment [a30]: As in a29.
Eg Is the use of Carbofuran recommended?

- 1600-2000kg/ha under rainfed condition	(43.33%)	(40.00%)	(16.67%)
- 2000-2500kg/ha under irrigated condition			

The above table 2 shows that 21.67 per cent of the respondents were not known about the improved cultivation practices of soybean whereas 27.50 per cent were partially known and 50.83 per cent of the respondents were fully correct about the improved cultivation practices of soybean.

Comment [a31]: Is it improved cultivation practices or improved varieties? This statement is wrong

About 81.67% of the respondents were fully correct about the sowing time of improved cultivation practices of soybean whereas 10.83% were partially correct followed by 7.50% of the respondents were not correct about the sowing time.

About 16.67% of the respondents were fully correct about the seed treatment of improved cultivation practices of soybean whereas 15.83% were partially correct followed by 67.50% of the respondents were not correct about the seed treatment.

About 31.67% of the respondents were fully correct about the seed rate of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 52.50 % were partially correct followed by 15.83% of the respondents were not correct about the knowledge of seed rate.

About 12.50 % of the respondents were fully correct about the field preparation of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 79.17 % were partially correct followed by 8.33% of the respondents were not correct about the field preparation.

About 5.84 % of the respondents were fully correct about the method of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 18.33% were partially correct followed by 75.83% of the respondents were not correct about the method of sowing.

About 37.50% of the respondents were fully correct about the spacing of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 55.83% were partially correct followed by 6.67% of the respondents were not correct about the spacing.

Comment [a32]: Check on grammar

About 10.83% of the respondents were fully correct about the recommended quantity of FYM to be applied of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 31.67% were partially correct followed by 57.5% of the respondents were not correct about it.

Comment [a33]: Grammar

About 20.83% of the respondents were fully correct about knowledge of methods of irrigation of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 72.50% were partially correct followed by 6.67% of the respondents were not correct about the methods of irrigation.

About 32.50% of the respondents were fully correct about the weed management of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 60.00% were partially correct followed by 7.50% of the respondents were not correct about the weed management.

About 9.17% of the respondents had full knowledge about disease control of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 28.33 % were partially correct followed by 62.5% of the respondents were not correct about the disease control.

About 7.5% of the respondents were fully correct about the pest control of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation whereas 21.67% were partially correct followed by 70.83% of the respondents were not correct about the knowledge of pest control.

About 78.33% of the respondents were fully correct about the harvesting of improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation while 21.67% of the respondents were partially correct about harvesting.

About 44.33% of the respondents were fully correct about the yield of improved cultivation practices of soybean whereas 40% were partially correct followed by 16.67% of the respondents were not correct about the yield of soybean.

Table 3: Distribution of the respondents based on the level of knowledge towards improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation.

Comment [a34]: Repeated word

S.N	Category	Frequency	Percentage	Mean	SD
1	Low (<25.15)	19	15.83	27.8	2.6
2	Medium (25.15-30.55)	85	70.84		
3	High (>30.55)	16	13.33		

	Total	120	100.00		
--	-------	-----	--------	--	--

Table 3 reveals that the level of knowledge of respondents of improved cultivation practices of soybean is medium 70.84% followed by low 15.83% and high 13.33% respectively. Similar findings were found in Sikarwar(2019).

Comment [a35]: Comment briefly on what the author found.

Table 4. Association between selected independent variables with knowledge of respondents in improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation

Association between selected independent variables with knowledge

S/no	Variables	Pearson's correlation coefficient
1	Age	0.053 NS
2	Education	0.110 NS
3	Marital Status	-0.101 NS
4	Type of family	-0.018 NS
5	Family size	-0.024 NS
6	Type of house	0.014 NS
7	Occupation	0.133 NS
8	Land holding	0.335 *
9	Annual income	0.205 *
10	Farming experience	0.079 NS
11	Extension contact	0.271 *
12	Mass media exposure	-0.061 NS
13	Source of information	0.158 NS

Comment [a36]: The variables Age, Education, Marital status, Type of family, Family size and occupation are categorical data. How did you use Pearsons correlation analysis with categorical variables?
Suggestion: Use a nonparametric test of correlation. -For Pearsons correlation analysis to be valid, the variables should be measured on an interval scale. It cannot be used for a variable such as 'type of house' and other categorical variables!

* = Significant at p = 0.05%, NS= Non Significant

The result of correlation analysis in above table revealed the significance and non-significance of the socio-economic profile of the people and their level of knowledge of soybean growers.

Table 4 revealed that independent variables like annual income, land holding and extension contact are significantly associated with knowledge of soybean growers. Further, the variables

age, education, marital status, type of family, family size, type of house, occupation, farm experience, mass media exposure and source of information was found to be non significant.

Extent of adoption of recommended Soybean Cultivation Practices

Table 5. Distribution of the adoption of the respondents about improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation.

Sn	Statements	Adoption level		
		Fully adopted	Partially adopted	Not adopted
1	Varieties recommended	80 (66.67%)	27 (22.5%)	13 (10.83%)
2	Planting/ sowing time	107 (89.17%)	-	13 (10.83%)
3	Field preparation	16 (13.33%)	95 (79.17%)	9 (7.5%)
4	Method of sowing	-	21 (17.5%)	99 (82.5%)
5	Manures and fertilizers	16 (13.33%)	27 (22.5%)	77 (64.17%)
6	Spacing	26 (21.67%)	70 (58.33%)	24 (20%)
7	Seed rate	22 (18.33%)	87 (72.5%)	11 (9.17%)
8	Inter cultivation	20 (16.67%)	24 (20%)	76 (63.33%)
9	Irrigation	12 (10%)	76 (63.33%)	32 (26.67%)
10	Weed management	12 (10%)	52 (43.33%)	56 (46.67%)
11	Pest control	9	22	89

Comment [a37]: Explain to the readers how the categorization was done

		(7.5%)	(18.33%)	(74.17%)
12	Disease control	5 (4.17%)	21 (17.5%)	94 (78.33%)
13	Harvesting	93 (77.5%)	22 (18.33%)	5 (4.17%)
14	Yield per hac	66 (55%)	32 (26.67%)	22 (18.33%)

The above table shows that a majority of 66.67% of the respondents were using the recommended varieties of improved cultivation practices of soybean while 22.5% of the respondents partially adopted and 10.83% of the respondents did not adopt these recommended varieties.

About 89.17% of the respondents had fully adopted the optimum time of sowing of improved cultivation practices of soybean whereas 10.83% of the respondents did not adopt the sowing time of the improved cultivation practices of soybean.

About 13.33% of the respondents had fully adopted the recommended field preparation of improved cultivation practices of soybean whereas 79.17% of the respondents partially adopted and 7.5% of the respondents did not adopt the field preparation of the improved cultivation practices of soybean.

About 17.5% of the respondents partially adopted the recommended method of sowing of improved cultivation practices of soybean whereas 82.5% of the respondents did not adopt the recommended method of sowing of improved cultivation practices of soybean.

Comment [a38]: This statement is not clear

It is observed that about 13.33% of the respondents fully adopted manure and fertilizer dose as per recommendation whereas 22.5% of the respondents had adopted dose below recommendation and majority 64.17% of the respondents has not adopted the recommended manure and fertilizer of improved cultivation practices of soybean.

About 21.67% of the respondents fully adopted recommended spacing whereas majority 58.33% of respondents partially adopted recommended spacing and 20% of respondents did not adopt the recommended spacing of improved cultivation practices of soybean.

Majority of respondents 72.5% had partially adopted recommended seed rate whereas 18.33% of respondents had fully adopted recommended seed rate and about 9.17% respondents has not adopted the seed rate of improved cultivation practices of soybean.

Majority 63.33% of the respondents has not adopted the inter cultivation practices whereas 20% of the respondents has partially adopted and about 16.67% of the respondents has fully adopted the inter cultivation practices of improved cultivation practices of soybean.

From the table it is seen that majority 63.33% of respondents had partially adopted recommended irrigation while 10% of the respondents had adopted fully and 26.67% has not adopted the recommended irrigation.

About 46.67% of the respondents did not adopt intercultural operation as per recommendation while 43.33% of respondents partially adopted as recommended and 10% of the respondents fully adopted the intercultural operations i.e. weed management of improved cultivation practices of soybean.

From the table it is highlighted that majority 74.17% of the respondents had not adopted recommended pesticide/ insecticides. While 7.5% of the respondents had fully adopted recommended insecticides/ pesticides and about 18.33% respondents had partially adopted it.

About 4.17% respondents had adopted recommended control measure for diseases. Whereas 17.5% of respondents had partially adopted the recommended control measures for diseases and about majority 78.33% of the respondents had not adopted recommended control measures of diseases of improved cultivation practices of soybean.

From the table it is seen that majority 77.5% of the respondents had harvested crops as recommended while 4.17% of respondents had not adopted and 18.33% partially adopted the recommended time for harvesting of soybean.

Majority 55% of respondents had fully adopted the recommended yield while 26.67% had partially adopted and 18.33% of the respondents had not adopted the recommended yield of soybean cultivation.

Table 6: Distribution of the respondents based on the adoption towards improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation.

Sl no	Category	Frequency	Percentage	Mean	SD
1	Low (<27.45)	19	15.83	29.1	1.6
2	Medium (27.45-30.81)	79	65.84		
3	High (>30.81)	22	18.33		
	Total	120	100.00%		

Table 6 revealed that 65.84% of respondents were having medium level of adoption followed by 18.33% of respondents having high level of adoption whereas 15.83% of respondents were having low level of soybean crop.

Similar findings were also reported by **Kumar(2018)** and **Patodiya (2018)**.

Association between selected independent variables with adoption of farmers towards improved cultivation practices of soybean cultivation.

Table 7. Association between selected independent variables with adoption of Soybean cultivation practices by the farmers

S.No	Variables	Pearson's correlation coefficient
1	Age	0.038 NS
2	Education	0.151 NS
3	Marital status	-0.128 NS
4	Type of family	0.009 NS
5	Family size	0.073 NS

6	Type of house	-0.090 NS
7	Occupation	0.0006 NS
8	Land holding	0.386 *
9	Annual income	0.425 *
10	Farming experience	0.116 NS
11	Extension contact	0.395 *
12	Mass media exposure	0.105 NS
13	Source of information	0.073 NS

* = Significant at p = 0.05%, NS= Non Significant

Table 7 revealed that independent variables like annual income, land holding, extension contact are significantly associated with adoption of soybean growers. Further, the variables age, education, marital status, type of family, family size, type of house, occupation, farming experience, mass media exposure and source of information was found to be non significant.

Conclusion

It is concluded from the present study that majority of the respondents were middle aged people, had education up to Upper Primary school level, majority are married, had nuclear family up to 5 members and most of them lived in semi-cemented house. Majority of the respondents had farming experiences between 10 to 20 years. A large number of the respondents had medium level of income. Most of the respondents also had social contacts with NSRLM. It was found that large number of respondents had medium level of knowledge and medium level of adoption.

Variables like like annual income, land holding, extension contact are significantly associated with knowledge of improved cultivation practices of soybean. Further, the variables age, education, marital status, type of family, family size, type of house, occupation, farming experience, mass media exposure and source of information was found to be non significant whereas for adoption by the respondents, variables like annual income, land holding, extension contact are significantly associated with adoption of improved soybean cultivation practices. Further, the variables age, education, marital status, type of family, family size, type of house,

Comment [a39]: Edit

occupation, farming experience, mass media exposure and source of information was found to be non significant.

The main constraints faced by the respondents were lack of proper resources and capital , high cost of input, lack of proper information at time, lack of consultancy/extension services , shortage of labors. They have suggested that seeds and other inputs should be made available at the village/block level, trainings should be given according to locally available resources and more training programs should be carried out by various extension workers which gives the farmers a wider range of knowledge regarding various types of cultivation practices as well as various schemes they are able to apply so as to assist them in balancing their earning and the subsidiaries provided by the government.

The researcher hopes that this research study would come in use to understand the socio-economic and personal characteristics of soybean growers with their level of knowledge and adoption of improved cultivation practices of Soybean cultivation.

References

Agarwal, D.K., Billore, S.D. and Sharma, A.N. (2013). Soybean. National Academy of Agricultural Sciences. **2**(4): 293-300.

Anantrao, D.V.(2014). Knowledge and Adoption of Herbicide by the soybean farmers. M.Sc.(Ag) Thesis, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola.

Chaudahari, J.K., Patel, A.J. and Patel, J.K.(2012). Knowledge and Adoption of weed management practices in rabi crops. *Gujarat Journal of Extension Education*. **23**: 108-110.

Das, R. and Jha, K.K.(2017). Training needs of potato growers in Sepahijala district of Tripura. *Agriculture Update*. **12**(3):323-327.

Deshmukh, P.K.(2011). Technological gap in soybean production practices. *Indian Journal of Social Research*. **52**(3): 257-264.

Comment [a40]: This is not reported in the findings

Comment [a41]: Use the Journals' format in Referencing both in the text and in the Reference section

Dupare, B.U., Sharma, P., Verma, S.K and Billore, S.D. (2019). Adoption of Soybean Production Technology by the farmers in Malwa Plateau of Madhya Pradesh. *Soybean Research*. **17** (1&2): 62-76.

Engla, M.(2013). Economic performance of major kharif crops production in Khargone district of Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior.

Goyal, J.S.(2011). A Study of training needs as perceived by the Tribal farmers with respect to soybean cultivation of Ichhawar block district Sehore of Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur.

Jamanal, S.K. and Sadaqath, S. (2017). Socio-economic characteristics of soybean growers. *Agric. Update*, **12** (7): 1969-1973.

Jaybhay, S.A., Taware, S.P.,Varghese, P.,Nikam, V.R.(2018). Soybean cultivation by farmers of Maharashtra: Identification and analysis of the problems. *Legume Research- An International Journal*. **41** (3) : 474-479.

Kadam, P. and Suryawanshi ,S.D. (2011). Constraints and suggestions of soybean growers in adoption of soybean production technology. *International Journal of Agricultural Engineering*. **4** (2) : 120-124.

Kale, N. M., Mankar, D.M., Deshmukh, J.P. and Wankhade, P.P. (2014). Adoption of herbicide application practices by soybean and cotton farmers in vidarbha. Research Review Committee Project, Dr. PDKV, Akola. 18 March ,2014.

Kumar, A. (2012). Adoption behaviour of farmers about recommended technologies of soybean. M.Sc. Thesis (Unpub.), Dr. PDKV, Akola.

Kumar,A. and Rathod,M.K.(2013). Adoption behavior of farmers about recommended technology of soybean. *Agric. Update* ,8(1&2): 134-137.

Kumar,A., Rathod,M.K. and Kalantri,L.B. (2012). Behaviour of farmers in adoption of recommended technology of soybean. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. Vol II.

Kumar,S.(2013). Knowledge and adoption of recommended coriander production technology by the farmers in Baran district of Rajasthan. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis(Unpub), SKRAU, Bikaner Campus-Jobner.

Kumar,S.(2018). Knowledge and Attitude of the farmers towards recommended soybean cultivation practices of Kota Region, Rajasthan. PHD(Ag.) Thesis, S.K.N. College of Agriculture, Jobner.

Kumawat,R.(2015). Knowledge and attitude of farmers towards recommended production technology of rapeseed and mustard crop in district Tonk(Rajasthan). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis(Unpub), SKNAU, Jobner Campus-Jobner.

Makashre, S.K.(2014). A Study on Knowledge and Adoption of Soybean Production Technology by the Farmers of Tikamgarh District, Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur.

Makashre,S.K., Singh,S.P., Bisht,K., and Raughuwanshi,S. (2015). Factors affecting adoption level of recommended soybean production technology of soybean growers f Tikamgarh district. *The Journal of Rural and Agricultural Research*. 15 (1) : 84-87.

Mandloi, S.(2012). A study on perception and usefulness of seed village programme for soybean crop among the beneficiary farmers of Indore block of Indore district (M.P). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior.

Maratha,P.(2015). A study on marketing behavior of vegetables growers in Morar Block of Gwalior district(M.P). M.Sc.(Ag) Thesis, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior.

Mbanya, W. (2011). Assessment of the constraints in Soybean production: A case of Northern Region, Ghana. *Journal of Development in Sustainable Agriculture* **6**: 199-214.

Medat, N. R., Singh, N., Kuthe, S., Gohiljigar (2016). Constraints in Soybean Production and Marketing Faced by the Farmers in South Gujarat. *Advances in Life Sciences* . **5** (18): 7381-7383.

Mohite, V. S., (2013). Adoption of biofertilizer by soybean growers in western vidarbha. M.Sc.(Ag) Thesis (Unpub), Dr. PDKV, Akola.

Pal, M., Singh, R.P. and Singh, M.(2014). Technological gap in adoption of pulse crop production. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*, **50**(1&2): 11-115.

Parikh, A.H.(2013). Technological gap in adoption of improved cultivation practices by soybean growers. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Anand Agricultural University , Anand.

Parmar, A.K.(2013). Economics and marketing efficiency of soybean in Shajapur district of Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior.

Parmar, L.(2014). An evaluation study of farmers field school on soybean management practices conducted by agriculture department of Tikamgarh district(M.P). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis unpublished J.N.K.V.V. Jabalpur.

Patel, N.(2013). A study on Agricultural Technology Management Agency(ATMA) project in context to beneficiaries of soybean demonstration under Rehil block of Sagar district, M.P. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Studies*. **2**(8): 290-296.

Patel, R. and Mazhar, S.H.(2019). To determine the level of knowledge of respondents about Integrated Disease Management Practices of Rice crop in Lailunga Block of Raigarh District (Chattisgarh). *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. **19** (1): 24-27.

Patidar, M.K.(2013). A Study of Adoption of Integrated Pest Management Practices by Soybean Growers of Tikamgarh District, Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur.

Patodiya,R.S.(2018). Knowledge and Adoption of scientific wheat cultivation practices in Rajasthan. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*.**18**(1) : 93-95.

Raghuvanshi, A.(2018). An economic analysis and post harvest losses in major vegetables of Chhattisgarh Plains. Ph.D Thesis, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Raipur.

Raghuvanshi, R.S. and Bisht, K (2010). Uses of Soybean: Products and Preparation. In : [Singh G (Ed). *The Soybean: Botany, Production and Uses, CAB International, USA*, pp: 345-374.

Sani,P.(2018). Adoption behavior of different categories of soybean growers towards improved production technology in shahapura block of Jabalpur District(M.P.). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur.

Santosh, K., Deepak, R., Nahatkar, S. B. (2016). Yield gap and constraints in Adoption of Soybean production technologies in Central Narmada Valley Agro-climatic of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of Agriculture Sciences*. **8**(61) : 3463-3467.

Sikarwar, R.S.(2019). A study on Adoption level of Improved Soybean Production Technology in Sehore Block of district Sehore, Madhya Pradesh. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Madhya Pradesh.

Sikarwar, R.S., Pathak, K.N., Tomar, R.S. and Khan, A. (2021). Knowledge level of improved soybean production technology in Sehore block of District Sehore, Madhya Pradesh. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*. **10** (7): 360-362.

Singh,A.K., Singh, D., Singh, A., Singh,A., Singh, M. and Kumar,A.(2016). Knowledge and attitude of the farmers towards raised bed and other improved production technology of

pigeonpea (*Cajanus cajan*) for climate resilient agriculture. *Indian Journal of Extension Education*. **52**(3&4): 135-140.

Singh, M., Dwivedi, A.P., Mishra A., Singh, R.P., Singh, D., Singh, S.R.K. and Chand P. (2013). Adoption and constraints of soybean production technology in Sagar District of Madhya Pradesh. **8**: 94-99.

Singh, P.K. and Varshney, G.J. (2010). Study on adoption level and constraints in rice production technology at Jabalpur district (M.P). *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. **10** (1): 91-94.

Singh, S. (2015). A study on Adoption of Integrated Pest Management Practices by Soybean Growers in Pandhana Block of Khandwa District (M.P). M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Jawaharlal Nehru Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Jabalpur.

Singh, S. and Hansra, B.S. (2018). Knowledge and Adoption level of Improved Vegetable farming practices of SHG members and non-members in Himachal Pradesh, India. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. **18**(4):61-64.

Soni, M. (2011). A study on Agricultural Technology Management Agency (ATMA) Project in context to beneficiaries of soybean demonstration under Sanwer Block of Indore District, M.P. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Rajmata Vijayaraje Scindia Krishi Vishwa Vidyalaya, Gwalior.

Statistical Handbook of Nagaland. (2017). Directorate of Economics and Statistics, Government of Nagaland, Kohima, India. pp 29.

Tilekar, Y.M. (2010). Knowledge and Adoption of Integrated Pest Management practices by soybean growers. M.Sc.(Ag.) Thesis, Dr. Panjabrao Deshmukh Krishi Vidyapeeth, Akola.

Venkattakumar, R. and Padmaiah, M. (2010). Adoption behavior of Oilseed Growers in India. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. **10**(3): 75-83.

Verma,J., Kumar,A. and Rana,K.K. (2018). To measure the knowledge level of soybean growers about Improved Production Technology through Krishi Vigyan Kendra in Sehore District of Madhya Pradesh. *International Journal of pure and Applied Bioscience.* **6(2):** 274-277.

Yadav,B.S. and Khan,I.M.(2012). Adoption of improved cultivation practices of cauliflower. *Indian Journal of Social Research.* **53(3):** 191-1917.

UNDER PEER REVIEW