

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_89352
Title of the Manuscript:	Utilization pattern of different agricultural information sources and channels by paddy farmers in Telangana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: The abstract should be modified in such way that it should represent the findings in abridged manner. Introduction: Using of factual numbers would have been much more substantial. Reduce the quoting of others studies as they are and attend it. Methodology: The methodology should be re written and mention the correct and uniform sampling method. Substantiate the basis for selection of sample size. If scale is developed by somebody else, mention it. Results and Discussion: The findings should be substantiated with appropriate reasons along with similar or contrasting previous findings. Conclusion: It should be representative of your work summary and outcomes instead of vague conclusion.	
Minor REVISION comments	The minor typographical and grammatical errors should be taken care. Please check for plagiarism.	
Optional/General comments	Attend above corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mutteppa Chigadolli
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences, India