

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_88095
Title of the Manuscript:	Attitude of Kinnow Growers Toward Drip Irrigation System in Haryana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Abstract: This section is well written according to the journal format, abstract writing rules and the purpose of the research. Introduction: Well organized according to the purpose of the research and the literature summary. However, it can be supported by new literature information in the world. Martials and Method: This section is organized according to the purpose of the research. The analysis of the research data was chosen in accordance with the study data. Results and Discussion: The results of the research have been adequately discussed and evaluated with the previous literature. Conclusion: This section is well written according to the research findings and the purpose of the research. Reference: Current literature on the subject can be added.	
Minor REVISION comments	Fix grammatical errors Check journal formatting	
Optional/General comments	The research is original, if it is published, it can contribute to the studies and literature on the same subject.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Celal Cevher
Department, University & Country	Field Crops Central Researcher Institute, Turkey