

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87762
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Agricultural Credit on Information and Communication Technology Tools' Adoption in Agricultural Extension among Smallholder Cassava Farmers in Rangwe Sub-County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1	<p>The topic is interesting and worthy to be researched. The author however needs to be more focused at the topic, research gaps and objectives and use the right analytical tools to justify the discussion.</p> <p>. The topic refers to 'effect of credit on.....'. The author needs to Re-visit the analytical techniques used. Using Only the Correlation coefficient is not Appropriate because correlation analysis does not show the effect of one variable on another. It shows The relationship between variables. I suggest the author makes use of regression analysis. This will improve the work.</p> <p>2. There are several assertions without convincing evidences. The author needs to Look at the issues highlighted in the manuscript and work on them.</p> <p>3. The research gaps were not properly identified. The author should revisit the section.</p> <p>4. There were many conclusions/assertions were made based on the correlation result. There Is need for the author to go through these and discuss based on the limits of Correlation Analysis.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>1. There were few sentences with errors which I corrected. These corrections were Inserted so that the author can identify them. The Author should look into such.</p> <p>2. I had to recast few sentences (but retained the original information).</p>	
Optional/General comments	I find the literature review a bit scanty. The author may wish to look into it.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onyinyechukwu Hope Onubogu
Department, University & Country	Chukwuemeka Odumegwu Ojukwu University, Nigeria