

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_87166
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-economic Implications of Emigration on Farmers' Household in Eastern Zone of Punjab
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This paper presents few details of the research. Some elements need major improvement: 1. Please present the reasons for choosing multistage random sampling technique. What are the advantages and disadvantages of the chosen sampling method? Have other researchers used this methodology? Is the sample representative of the population? Present the literature in this field. 3. How was the sample size determined in accordance with the assumed risk? (No reference to the risk considered). 4. Before estimating the regression model, a descriptive analysis of the variables is carried out (to identify possible outliers, non-normality of variables, etc.). 5. The results of the hypothesis testing of the estimated regression model are not presented. 6. Y represents remittances (not factors affecting amount of remittances) and X1, ...Xn represent Explanatory variables or/and factors affecting amount of remittances	
Minor REVISION comments	What are the research hypotheses? What results do you expect to obtain? Based on the regression model it can be specified which variable has a greater influence on remittances. Please mention this. Are the research results consistent with the results of previous research?	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chirilă Viorica
Department, University & Country	University of Iasi, Romania