

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_86731
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysing the Growth Prospects of Agribusiness Input Sector in India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>The author(s) collected secondary data on seeds, fertilizers and pesticides for analysis of agribusiness sector in India and worked out their Compounded Annual Growth Rate.</p> <p>The following are the comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. It says "Agribusiness is undergoing huge transformation". What kind of transformation and towards what direction?2. It says "The aim of this paper is to study about the overview of agribusiness system in Indian and to analyze the growth prospects for agribusiness in India".3. It should be "The objective of this paper is to have an overview of the present agribusiness systems in India and to analyze its growth prospects".4. It says "Secondary data was collected from various government websites and reports". However, no references of any government websites and reports are presented in the MS.5. Under the Results and Discussion, all the three paragraphs of 1.3 Agribusiness System in India are too general statements and they are neither the results or any discussion based on the results!6. The information generated from the study is too general and not very specific to what kind of seeds (e.g., hybrid/ordinary seed), what type of fertilizers (urea, mussorie phos or muriate of potash, since India imports sizeable quantity of them) as well as type of pesticides. The data presented in Table 1 Sale Patterns of Seed, Fertilizer and Pesticide in India is only up to 2016-17, whereas, the data given in Tables 2 and 3 are up to 2020. Why so?7. No reasons are suggested and discussion made about why the CAGR in different sectors are either going up or down (e.g., Fertilizer sector: -0.80%).8. The conclusion is not very specific.9. The general impression is that the study is a very simple one and many specific aspects are lacking. Therefore, the MS need not be considered for publication as a research paper. However, if the specific observations made above are looked into and revised accordingly, may be considered as a short note or short communication as per the format of the journal.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V Krishnakumar
Department, University & Country	Icar-Central Plantation Crops Research Institute, India