

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_86519
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS RELATED TO PRODUCTION AND MARKETING OF FRESHWATER FISH ROHU(Labeo rohita) IN CUTTACK DISTRICT, ODISHA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: The abstract is good through the author needs to include the following;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The value of the research, practical and scholarly implication of research findings.- The research methodology used to arrive at the research conclusions <p>Body;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The paper does not have in text citation- The paper is too short, standard paper should be between 7 to 20 pages- The mapper does not have literature review section, this needs to be incorporated- The paper is poorly structured; the general structure is <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Introduction2. Literature Review3. Methodology4. Discussion of Findings and Conclusions	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Grammar is a problem generally in the whole paper- The paper needs proper structuring and formatting based on international scholarly standards	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper intention looks good though the paper in inadequate both in form and content	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Benard Muma
Department, University & Country	Technical University of Kenya, Kenya