Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_86495**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Comparative study of Paddy cultivation in Ghaggar River Belt and Salt affected Micro farming Situation in Zone 1b of Rajasthan**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	(i) ABSTRACT: Results on returns and resource use efficiency are missing, with relevant recommendations (ii) INTRODUCTION: Structure of the article is relevant but lacks substance when it comes to problems statement, Interest of the subject, research Questions/ Objectives and hypotheses, as well as literature Review (iii) LITERATURE REVIEW: There is lack of authoritative arguments; references are bitterly missing, especially in support of the Results and Discussion section (only page 13 and 15 are discussed) (iv) RESULTS: Page 14-15, F test (Regression ANOVA) results are missing to validate R^2 in Table 17 and 19 (v) DISCUSSION: very poor; only results on pages 13 and 15 are discussed (vi) CONCLUSION: is written like a Wishlist but shall be a quick review of problems statement, Interest of the subject, research Questions and Objectives as well as a hypothesis testing	
Minor REVISION comments	(i) Change TITLE to: "Comparative Costs and Advantages between Large and Small Rice Farms of Ghaggar River Belt and Zone 1b of Rajasthan" (ii) Pages 3: The Cost of rent for leased-in land is factored twice in Cost B_2 (first in B_1, then in B_2) (iii) Pages 3-4: Replace Goss Income by Gross Income Replace Elastices by Elasticities	
Optional/General comments	(i) TABLES: Indicate sources for all the tables (e.g. Farm survey (Author, 2022)) (ii) RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: separate into two different subsections (iii) REFERENCING: the system seems to be dual APA-MLA and inconsistent by nature, with poor literature. (iv) STYLE AND QUALITY OF WRITING: There is originality but coherence sometimes is lacking. Correct mistakes and errors of syntax, grammar, spelling, vocabulary, etc.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Cush Ngonzo Luwesi**
Department, University & Country	**University of Kinshasa, Democratic Republic Congo**