

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_86161
Title of the Manuscript:	Adoption Behaviour of farmer towards improved potato production practice in prayagraj district of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In writing the contents of the draft paper manuscript, starting from the title menu to the reference menu, it is obligatory to follow the style of the writing template format that has been determined. Such as: the typeface, the type of font, the use of capital letters in each chapter, and so on.2. The abstract must be written systematically and sequentially, and written with the title sentence and explanation starting from: Objectives, Study Design, Place and Duration of Study, Methodology, Results, and Conclusions.3. In the contents of the paper, it is recommended to follow the systematic writing style that applies to this journal, starting from the chapters: 1. INTRODUCTION, 2. METHODOLOGY, 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, 4. CONCLUSIONS, ACKNOWLEDGMENTS, COMPETING INTERESTS, AND REFERENCES (adjust according to the content of template provided).4. In chapter 1. INTRODUCTION, there is no paragraph explaining the specific problem, namely what are the things that are still the shortcomings or weaknesses of potato farmers so far in implementing practices for producing potatoes in Prayagraj district, Uttar Pradesh? (explanation of the problem will be better if it is supported by data or supported by facts in the field).5. In chapter 1. INTRODUCTION, there is no more in-depth explanation paragraph about the proposed solution, namely what types and forms of adoption can be applied by farmers in the future, in order to produce better potatoes in the future than before in Prayagraj district, Uttar Pradesh? (explanation of the solution offered will be better, if it is supported by data or supported by the results of related research).6. In writing the citation, in the contents of the draft paper manuscript, starting from chapter 1. INTRODUCTION to chapter 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, must follow the style of writing template format that has been determined. Such as: [1], [2], [3], and so on.7. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, it is recommended that ten villages from the Phoolpur block are selected to be the object of research, it will be more informative, if the ten villages are mentioned by name one by one, and it will be even better, if it is equipped with a map of the research location.8. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, as many as 120 respondents were chosen at random, in order to be more informative, it is necessary to describe more specifically, from the ten villages in question, how many representatives of the respondents from each village?9. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, as many as 120 respondents were chosen at random, in order to be more informative, it is necessary to describe more specifically, what characteristics are eligible to be selected as suitable respondents in your research?10. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, what are the indicators intended to measure the socioeconomic character of the respondents, and why are they needed in your research?	

Review Form 1.6

	<ol style="list-style-type: none">11. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, what instruments are used to measure the socioeconomic character of the respondents in your research?12. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, which sources of data or information were obtained from which agencies were used to measure the socioeconomic character of the respondents in your research?13. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail what tools and materials are used to measure the socioeconomic character of the respondents in your research?14. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, what types of data analysis are used to measure the socioeconomic character of the respondents in your research?15. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, what are the indicators intended to measure the types and forms of adoption of improved potato production, and why are they needed in your research?16. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, what instruments are used to measure the types and forms of adoption of improved potato production in your research?17. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, which sources of data or information were obtained from which agencies were used to measure the types and forms of adoption of potato production improvements in your research?18. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, what tools and materials are used to measure the types and forms of adoption of potato production improvements in your research?19. In chapter 2. METHODOLOGY, to be more informative, it is necessary to explain in detail, what types of data analysis are used to measure the types and forms of adoption of potato production improvements in your research?20. In chapter 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, in all paragraphs of “discussion” has not interpreted in depth the importance of the findings of the research results in each of the variables. Then also added or combined, several contents of quotes in the form of theories or related research results that are more in-depth and informative that must be given to support the findings in each variable.21. In writing all the contents of "REFERENCES", it is mandatory to follow the style of the template writing format that has been determined in this journal.22. References were added over the last 5 years and the number of citations was increased.	
--	---	--

Review Form 1.6

Minor REVISION comments	<div>1. In writing the abstract for writing "Keywords" it has not followed the format of this journal template that has been determined, namely italics, only the first word begins with a capital letter, and each word is separated by a comma and is not bolded.</div> <div>2. In chapter 1. INTRODUCTION, part of the citation writing, must also be accompanied by the year.</div> <div>3. In chapter 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, all the writing of table titles and figure titles, must follow the style of the template writing format that has been determined in this journal.</div> <div>4. In chapter 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, for all table forms, do not use vertical lines and for menus contained in the head of the table must be bolded.</div> <div>5. In chapter 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, for writing the units, for example percent, it must be consistent from the beginning whether to use symbols or not symbols. Don't mix.</div> <div>6. In chapter 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION, for the writing of each word "Table" and the word "Picture" in the paragraph, it is mandatory to use a capital letter at the beginning of the word.</div>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asramid Yasin
Department, University & Country	Halu Oleo University, Indonesia