

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_85594
Title of the Manuscript:	Awareness of safe plant protection measures among vegetable growers in Prayagraj district of Uttar Pradesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Methodology section the author to indicate the research design used, sampling procedures,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Give a brief description of the research instrument- Describe how data was analyzed and specify the statistical models used in analysis if any- Provide a discussion for the research findings in table one- Table 2 the data in subsections should be presented separately for ease of discussion.- The researcher to Indicate the research findings in the conclusion and avoid generalization by use of terminologies such as majority.....- The recommendation is not in line with the current study based on the objectives stated- Do more literature review, references are too few	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Abstract: There is need to indicate the problem the study was addressing, objective and justification for the study</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">-Indicate how you arrived at the sample size of 120-Method of data analysis should be shown-- Add conclusion and recommendation section statements in the abstract <p>Introduction</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Edit grammar as highlighted in the document- (Sources: Vegetables, State Directorates of Horticulture, 2021). The source is wrongly cited- Some sources of literature are not cited (refer to manuscript)- Why are citations in bold? Consider removing the bold- Give examples of risks caused by pesticides to human health and the environment- Title of tables 2 and 3 & Figure 1are the same. Has the author just repeated data presentation?- Avoid bolding the citation and titles- Label the figures correctly- Results presented in figure one has neither interpretation nor discussion	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Table one should be reorganized, some data could be presented as bar charts, pie charts etc- Some acronymns have been used without definition of full meaning e.g What is OBC, ST and SC as used in the table of results	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Grace Opetu Oloo-Abucheli
Department, University & Country	Chuka University, Kenya