Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_85582
Title of the Manuscript:	The effects of adopting Technological innovations on rice value chain actors in Cameroon.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	ABSTRACT It is unconventional to begin a sentence with "In spite of its importance". A more appropriate opening sentence should be adopted. Line 8- FCFA should be written in full. The research problem that instigated your study should be briefly elucidated in your abstract. The significance of the study should be incorporated in the abstract. Also, the adopted statistical software used for the analysis should be stated in the abstract. METHODOLOGY The adopted statistical software used for the analysis should be stated in the methodology. REFERENCES Your references are not alphabetically arranged and well aligned A subheading should be dedicated for study recommendations for policy makers, stakeholders and the reading public on how to better the lot of actors along the rice value chain as well as their selection of preferred technologies	
Minor REVISION comments Optional/General comments	INTRODUCTION Rephrase the sentence "The rice value chain in Cameroon is overwhelmed characterized by multiple small-scaled actors" on page 1 for clarity. The title of Table 1- "Table 1: Type of available innovations and actors involved" is incomplete. Innovations and actors involved in what?	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tolulope Olatoye
Department, University & Country	University of Fort Hare, South Africa

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)