

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_85226
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS FACED BY BANANA GROWERS IN ADOPTION OF IPM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

14 03 2022

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Integrated Pest Control (IPC) and Integrated Pest Management (IPM) are actual objectives of this article in order to increase the plant production to mitigate the hunger at the world-wide side economy.</p> <p>I suggest that not to use any word in Key Words from the title of the article, like IPM (Integrated Pest Management), banana growers. Also, it would be better not to use MOSAIC word in the title, even in this case.</p> <p>In Anand district of the Gujarat state, but this is in which country? It should be mentioned, even in the title of the article.</p> <p>The title of the article well covers the spirit and essence of the contents.</p> <p>Analyzing methods of this case study are adequate for the objectives of this case study and the author consequently follows these methods for all of the article.</p> <p>Key words can be 5 words as follows from ABSTRACT, which can be:</p> <p>lack of quality inputs, high cost, technical guidance, spraying equipment, farmer.</p> <p>The word "equipment" should only be used plural, just only single.</p> <p>The suggestions and conclusions of the author are adequate for the demands of the scientific research.</p> <p>I agree on the final finds and conclusions with suggestions of the author, because there really are many difficulties including lack of quality inputs, lack of training, high cost of chemicals, spraying equipment, un-availability of technical guidance and even inadequacy and high wage rate of skilled labour for the banana growers about IPM.</p> <p>References of the article are actual from the present time.</p> <p><i>I suggest this article to be published in the Journal</i>, because the knowledge in this article can be useful for experts and participant in different study courses.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The suggestions and conclusions of the author are adequate for the demands of the scientific research.</p> <p>I agree on the final finds and conclusions with suggestions of the author, because there really are many difficulties including lack of quality inputs, lack of training, high cost of chemicals, spraying equipment, un-availability of technical guidance and even inadequacy and high wage rate of skilled labour for the banana growers about IPM. References of the article are actual from the present time.</p> <p><i>I suggest this article to be published in the Journal</i>, because the knowledge in this article can be useful for experts and participant in different study courses.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>I agree on the final finds and conclusions with suggestions of the author, because there really are many difficulties including lack of quality inputs, lack of training, high cost of chemicals, spraying equipment, un-availability of technical guidance and even inadequacy and high wage rate of skilled labour for the banana growers.</p> <p><i>I suggest this article to be published in the Journal</i>, because the knowledge in this article can be useful for experts and participant in different study courses.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zsarnóczai, J
Department, University & Country	Institute of Environmental Engineering, Óbuda University, Hungary