

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84510
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Influencing Adoption of Pigeon pea and its impact on household food security in Machakos County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The paper is relevant considering pigeon pea is the staple crop in in this semi-arid county as well as sufficiently detailed and illustrated.- However, I observe that the author is unnecessarily verbose in most sections. Precise the sentences and avoid repetition.- In figure 2, I find <i>mildly</i> and <i>moderately</i> subjective and relatively vague descriptors. I suggest you redefine these adjectives on, say, <i>hedonic</i> scale for higher objectivity.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Pick one font and avoid mixing- If possible, let the author indicate how s/he verified and addressed lies/untrue data from dishonest respondents, which is a possible scenario in such surveys.- Other comments in-text under <i>track changes</i> mode	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	This is a good paper, especially addressing food security, one of the Big Four Agenda — a Blue Print of the Government of Kenya.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kenneth Mutoro
Department, University & Country	Masinde Muliro University of Science and Technology, Kenya