



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84445
Title of the Manuscript:	Problems faced by rural women in availing Bandhan Microfinance In Tinsukia District of Assam
Type of the Article	original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The authors should rephrase the following sentences for clarity.</p> <p>n the manuscript the author actually suggests or proposes • Bandhan Microfinance should also provide basic business education to train these rural women in basic business management. • The inclusion of family members, especially the husband, is recommended for trouble-free use and loan repayment. If this is a suggestion in support of the hypotheses, it would be useful for the author not to aim at the obligation of the financier to cover by costs a possible training of the beneficiaries or it is only a conclusion as motivation of restrictions or misunderstanding by credit beneficiaries of interest calculation. The same author is also quoted twice at 7 and 15. To check if the author quotes the thesis with the consent of the thesis author and which page he quotes.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Adela Sorinela Safta
Department, University & Country	Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania