

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84417
Title of the Manuscript:	An Analysis on Constraints Perceived by the Farmers in Adoption of Conservation Agriculture Practices in Selected Districts of Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
	Introduction: In this section, the importance of the study has been clear and convenient literatures have been specified.	
	Methodology: An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.	
	Results: In the result section, an effective way has been used.	
	Discussion: In this section together with Results, the findings have been discussed in the literature, effectively.	
	Bibliography/References: There is no problem in Reference section.	
	Decision: There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be corrected by author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted after minor corrections.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As a general evaluation, manuscript contain valuable results and findings for plant sciences studies in the future.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Nuri Öner
Department, University & Country	Çankırı Karatekin University, Turkey