

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_84270
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Information and Communication Technology Tools' Usage in Agricultural Extension among Peasant Cassava Farmers in Rangwe Sub-County, Kenya
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The choice of subject is judicious.</p> <p>The methodological criteria of an article seem mostly respected.</p> <p>The author draws conclusions from a prospective study conducted among 106 cassava farmers in a county in Kenya. Moreover, he contrasts his findings with those of other researchers who have conducted more extensive studies of agricultural activities in Kenya.</p> <p>The interpretation of the results is not well done. The author makes speculations and does not deepen his analysis.</p> <p>The author uses different figures to present the results of the survey.</p> <p>The correlation technique turned out to be interesting. Thus, the analysis reaches a certain level of abstraction when it comes to explaining the use of NICTs in relation to variables such as age, gender, level of education, average income, etc.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript is accepted for publication on condition of making some minor revisions relating to the remarks raised above.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Toufik Majdi
Department, University & Country	Polydisciplinary Faculty of Khouribga University of Sultan Moulay Slimane of Béni Mellal, Morocco