Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_AJAEES_84250**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Cost and returns of milk production from dairy animals in East Godavari district of Andhra Pradesh**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	1) It's not clear from the methodology that, how many villages are chosen from each zone. 2) Mention of sampling among the different breeds of cattle is missing and need to be incorporated. 3) Sample size as per the methodology (300) and in table 1 (401) is not matching. 4) Although the cost and returns from each cattle breed/type as applicable has been given, the comparison is missing among them. 5) The expression "average net income per litre of milk" (line 11) indicates the variation in the milk price based on the breed/type of cattle. Further, it do indicates that there exist complete market information among the market players on the source of milk from a breed which is very unlikely to happen. 6) What are the measurable unit for the components of costs and outputs in all the tables?	
Minor REVISION comments	1) Language can be improved further. The author can take help of Grammarly for that. 2) Does the expression "CB cow" (line 76) indicates cross breed cow?	
Optional/General comments	1) Introduction is too short and lacks sufficient background and objectives, 2) Review of the literature is missing, 3) Follow the standard format for citation. 4) Although the author has cited several studies, the link between them and current study is missing in the text. 5) Discussion part is lacking in the entire manuscript, 6) Implications from the results is also not covered. 7) Bibliography need to be consistent with the journal needs.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Jaiprakash Bisen**
Department, University & Country	**ICAR-National Rice Research Institute, India**