

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>                                |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_84185   |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Impact of Covid 19 on The Income and Expenditure of the Egyptian Family: A Case study of Gharbia Governorate. |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <p>The article deals with an interesting topic that monitors the impact of the Covid 19 pandemic on household income and expenditure in a selected country. The strongest aspect of the paper is the results part based on statistical characteristics. The weakest aspect of the paper, on the other hand, is the theoretical part, the small number of resources used. I appreciate the novelty of the data sample used, but also the statistical support of the results, the use of statistical methods and a relatively detailed description of the results, the comparison between the period before and after the pandemic.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. The information in the introduction and the research problem must be based on ideal quality sources, studies by other authors. It is necessary to add a section of Literature Review, which will describe further studies of the authors on the topic, you should use literature analysis to determine whether and how the topic is addressed in the world, what methods researchers use, which are beneficial and which are not. Your text is very poor and together it uses only 12 literary sources, of which only 4 are published in the last 5 years and 5 sources are older than 30 years, which is a really long time in today's turbulent times.</li><li>2. From the methodological part, it is no longer clear how you collected the data (electronically, in person?), How did you address the respondents? Did each respondent respond to your form every month for two years? How did you motivate them?</li></ol> |   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. In Part 4 of the Methodology and Data Sources, the title suggests that data sources should be addressed, but I do not see information about data sources in the text of this chapter. You only state this in Chapter 5.</li><li>2. The figures presented in the results section are not completely in order, it would probably be better to present each figure separately with additional text and explanation.</li><li>3. Finally, the limits of the research should also be defined, which can certainly include geographical restrictions, as your research is applied to only part of one state. You can also add suggestions for future research.</li><li>4. It is a pity that you are addressing the recommendation to the Egyptian government, but not directly to households. It is very difficult to influence the government's decision, but it is possible to influence household income and expenditure in some way. This information should be provided and should follow from your article.</li></ol>   |   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |   |

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

|  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |

Reviewer Details:

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | Jakub Horak  |
| Department, University & Country | Institute of Technology and Business in Ceske Budejovice, Czech Republic |