

## ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF FRONT LINE DEMONSTRATION ON SOYBEAN CULTIVATION IN MADYA PRADESH

### ABSTRACT

KrishiVigyan Kendra, Shajapur~~has~~ conducted 60 front line demonstrations of soybean cultivation on the farmer's field for a period of 5 years from ~~during~~ 2012-13 to 2016-17 to transfer ~~new~~ scientific soybean cultivation technology ~~among~~ to the famers of shajapur district. The result revealed that the improved varieties of soybean JS- 335,JS-93-05 and JS-95-60 ~~has~~ ~~reported~~~~recorded~~ 9.06 per cent, 14.03 per cent and 10.14 per cent ~~respectively~~ higher yield ~~respectively~~ ~~under demonstrated in demonstration plot~~ compared to ~~than~~ farmer practices. The ~~increasing~~ productivity was observed under recommended technology over the check plots i.e. 18.18 per cent, 7.63 per cent, 11.50 per cent and 13.04 per cent during the years 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively. The productivity was found better under demo plot as ~~compare~~ to local practices. Therefore, soybean cultivation has broad scope to increase the area and production in shajapur district. The demonstration has raised an additional income of the farmer Rs 4500 to 11000 per ha and 3.49 to 4.68 increment benefit cost ratio.

Key words: ~~Front~~ line demonstration, soybean, productivity, Netincome.

### INTRODUCTIN

Soybean is the major oilseed crop of Madhya Pradesh that ~~has~~ boosted the economy of the state. It is legume crop but widely grown for oil purpose. It has a great potential as a kharif season oilseed ~~crop~~. Besides being a rich source of protein, they are also important for sustainable agriculture enriching the soil through biological nitrogen fixation. These crops fit well in the various cropping system without disturbing the main cereal crops. Hence, it is need of the day that we concentrate in developing high yield varieties with matching production technologies. During 2019-20 the area under the soybean crop was 12198.71 thousand ha ~~on the~~ with production of 11225.85 thousand MT with productivity level of 921 kg/ha in Madhya Pradesh state (www.sopa.org). ~~Even though,~~ a wide gap ~~exited~~ in the potential yield and farmers' yield on soybean crop in Madhya Pradesh. In view of this, KrishiVigyan Kendra, Shajapur conducted the ~~front~~ line demonstration (FLD) on soybean crop to know the yield gaps between FLDs and farmers' field, extent technology adoption. The area under soybean was very high of Madhya Pradesh ~~productivity but~~ very low due to non availability of seeds of improved variety, poor management and biotic and a biotic stress. The main aims of organizing these FLDs in farmers field to bridge wide gap between demonstration field yield and famers yield and popularizing the cultivation of soybean in large area of ~~Shajapur~~ district of Madhya Pradesh.

### METERIAL AND METHOD

Comment [RV1]: Increase in

Comment [RV2]: Compared

Comment [RV3]: Mention five words in alphabetical order

Comment [RV4]: A

Comment [RV5]: Existed

Comment [RV6]: Make first letter capital

Comment [RV7]: In

Comment [RV8]: But productivity is

Comment [RV9]: Field is

Comment [RV10]: First letter is capital and follow it in the entire manuscript

A total of 60 front line demonstrations were organized by the Krishi Vigyan Kendra in the Shajapur district of Madhya Pradesh to demonstrate the impact of research emanated production technology on soybean productivity over four years during kharif season from 2012-13 to 2015-16. The year 2012-13(15), 2013-14(15), 2014-15(15) and 2015-16(15) were laid out covering different villages of the Shajapur district. The improved package of practices included improved varieties(JS-335, JS-93-05 and JS-95-60) seed treatment with fungicides(thiramcarbendazim in 2:1 ratio @3gm/kg seed) and inoculated with bio fertilizer (phosphorus solubilizing bacteria cultures) recommended dose of fertilizer(20:20:20 NPK) and pest management (one spray at imadachloropid at 25 DAS + one spray of trizophos at 45 days).

**Comment [RV11]:** Over a period of

**Comment [RV12]:** Mention what is this

**Comment [RV13]:** How many villages? Mention it

The soil of the demonstrations belongs to very soils with low to medium fertility and grown to soybean- wheat / chickpea cropping system. Each front line demonstration was laid out on 0.4ha area adjacent was considered as comparison farmers practices.

**Comment [RV14]:** Close the bracket mention whether it is need based or ETL based.

**Comment [RV15]:** Mention the nutrient status of the soil

**Comment [RV16]:** In an area of

**Comment [RV17]:** No meaning

**Comment [RV18]:** Revise the sentence to make it meaningful

The demonstrations were planted between 20 June to 5<sup>th</sup> July with seed rate 80-100 Kg/ha. The recommended dose of NPK through 12:32:16 NPK per hectare was applied as basal. The selection of cultivators was done on the basis at Participatory Rural Appraisal (PRA) action plan and care has been taken to lay out the demonstration on road side to facilitate the demonstration of technology.

To evaluate the performances of soybean cultivation under these demonstrations and the farmers' practices, the yield data were collected from the same practices by random crop cutting method and analysis was done by using simple statistical tools. The farm profitability and B: C ratio was calculated by using the formula as given below:

1: Percent increase =  $\frac{\text{Demonstration yield} - \text{farmers yield}}{\text{Farmers yield}} \times 100$

2: For estimation of cost of cultivation, Cost concepts were used

3: Net Farm Income = Gross income – Cost 'C3'

4: Benefit Cost Ratio =  $\frac{\text{Gross income}}{\text{Total expenses (Cost C3)}}$

## RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### Varieties

Among soybean varieties presented (Table-1), variety JS-335 has reported highest yield 17.88q/ha. The next best was JS-93-05 (17.34 q/ha) followed by JS-95-60 with (16.17 q/ha). Varieties JS-93-05, JS-95-60 and JS 335 recorded 14.30, 10.14, and 9.06% respectively higher seed yield under recommended package at practices over local checks with farmer practices.

Table -1 Performance of improved soybean varieties against local varieties on farmer's fields.

Varieties	Yield (q/ha)		Yield of local Checks (q/ha)	Percentage increase in yield over check
	Highest	Average		

JS-335	20.40	17.88	16.32	9.06
JS-93-05	18.60	17.34	15.17	14.30
JS-95-60	19.50	16.17	16.17	10.14

### Grain yield

The productivity of soybean cultivation ranged from 17.30 q/ha to 28.50q/ha with highest ~~were~~ yield of 28.50q/ha under recommended improved production and production technologies. The data indicated that (Table-2) grain yield at 19.50 q/ha, 20.03 q/ha, 18.34 q/ha and 14.18 q/ha could be obtained with improved technology as compared with local practices recording 16.5q/ha, 18.50q/ha, 16.23q/ha and 12.33q/ha ~~with local practices~~ during 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively. The productivity was found to be increased under the demonstration plots over the check plots 18.18%, 7.63 %, 11.50 % and 13.04% during 2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively. The higher yield of soybean could be ~~attributing~~ to adopt high yielding varieties, seed treatment, balance dose of fertilizer, weed control IMP and IDM control measures. These results are supported by Rajetal. (2013), Hiremath et al(2010), Mishra *etal.*(2009) and Raghuwanshi *etal.*(2010).

Table -2 Performance of improve technologies of soybean cultivation on productivity through demonstrations

Year	No. of farmers	Yield (q/ha)				Increase over local check (%)
		Highest	Lowest	Average	Local check	
2012-13	15	20.40	14.30	19.50	16.50	18.18
2013-14	15	28.50	15.60	20.03	18.50	7.63
2014-15	15	19.30	15.80	18.34	16.23	11.50
2015-16	15	17.30	11.50	14.18	12.33	13.04

### Economic parameter

The economic analysis made on the basis prevailing market rates (Table-3) showed that the demonstration gave higher net return of Rs. 46000/ha, Rs.44000/ha Rs. 41863/ha and Rs.32376/ha as compared to Rs. 35000/ha, Rs. 39500/ha, Rs.35700.ha and Rs. 25446/ha under local practices in the corresponding seasons. An ~~addition~~ income per ha was generated Rs.11000 in the year 2012-13, Rs.4500 in 2013-14, Rs.6163 in 2014-15 and Rs.6910 in 2015-16. As far as cost of cultivation was concerned, on an average ~~830 Rs per ha~~ addition cost was observed under improved practices. Incremental benefit cost ratio under demonstration was observed 4.68, 3.75, 4.22 and 3.49 as compared with local check 3.85, 3.59, 3.81 and 3.29 during

**Comment [RV19]:** Restructure the sentences to make it meaningful and take only two parameters for comparison.

**Comment [RV20]:** Same as above.

**Comment [RV21]:** Attributed

**Comment [RV22]:** Adoption of

**Comment [RV23]:** expand

**Comment [RV24]:** expand

**Comment [RV25]:** italics

**Comment [RV26]:** Mention latest references preferably 5 years old

**Comment [RV27]:** Additional

**Comment [RV28]:** Rs.830/ha  
Follow this uniformly throughout the manuscript

2012-13, 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 respectively years. These finding are supported by Rajetal. (2014), Jeengaret al. (2006) and Tiwari et al. (2006).

**Comment [RV29]:** Mention the crop where these findings are reported

Table-3 Cost of cultivation, net return and B: C ration under improved and local management practices

Year	Cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)		Net return (Rs/ha)		Additional cost of cultivation (Rs/ha)	Additional net Return Rs/ha	Incremental Benefit Cost ratio	
	Demo	Local check	Demo	Local check			Demo	Local check
2012-13	12500	12200	46000	35000	300	11000	4.68	3.85
2013-14	16000	15200	44000	39500	800	4500	3.75	3.59
2014-15	13000	12700	41863	35700	300	6163	4.22	3.81
2015-16	13000	11080	32376	25466	1920	6910	3.49	3.29

**Comment [RV30]:** Check this seems too high

## Conclusion

The result of front line demonstration of soybean have clearly showed that growing of soybean variety JS-335, JS-93-05 and JS-95-60 under improved management practices including proper seed rate, seed treatment weed control, recommended fertilizer, IMP, IDM proved more productivity and remunerative then that grown with additional practices. On the basis of result, farmers were motivated to adopt new technology which applied under front line demonstration

## References

Hiremath SM, Nagaraju MV. Evaluation of on farm front line demonstration on yield of Chilli. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*. 2010;23(2):341-342.

Jeengar KL, Panwar P, Pareek OP. Front line demonstration on Maize in Bhilwara district of Rajasthan. *Current Agriculture*. 2006;30(1/2):115-116

Mishra DK, Paliwal DK, Tailor RS, Deshwal AK. Impact of front line demonstration of potato. *Indian Research Journal of Extension Education*. 2009;9(3):26-28.

Raghuwanshi SR, Raghuwanshi OPS, Umat R, Ambawati GR, Bhargva KS. Productivity enhancement of soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merrill] through improved technology in farmers field. *Soybean Research*. 2010;8:85-88.

Raj AD, Yadav V, Jadav HR, Rathod JH. Impact of front line demonstration on soybean in tribal belt of Gujarat. *Agriculture Update*. 2014;9(4):1-4.

**Comment [RV31]:** Latest references of last 5 years can be mentioned  
DOI should be mentioned wherever applicable / available  
Follow the style as prescribed by the journal  
Cross check this chapter with those appearing in the manuscript.

**Comment [RV32]:** Italics

Tiwari RB, Singh V, Parihar P. Role of front line demonstration in transfer of gram production technology. Maharashtra Journal of Extension Education. 2013;22(1):19.

UNDER PEER REVIEW