

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_83993
Title of the Manuscript:	Extent of Participation and decision making pattern of women in family related activities in rural areas of Telangana
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Dear authors,</p> <p>This is an interesting paper. However I feel that you should to improve literature review. You have only 5 references in the end of the paper. At the same time I suggest to clarify the research problem and the purpose of the paper in the first section. Moreover in the introduction I suggest to present the structure of the paper.</p> <p>In relation to methods and did not understand that you use. Please clarify table 1 and 2. Did you did a questionnaire or an interview to collect the information? Can you give us a sample of the questionnaire/interview?</p> <p>Can you add some citations to give a more scientific aspect of your metododology?</p> <p>The Conclusion Section is very poor. You did not relate this section with literature review. Please add the limitations of the study, implications for theory and practise as also paths for future research.</p> <p>Good Work for the next steps!</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Please add the period of the empirical research and clarify why do you choose 150 women.</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria Lúcia Pato
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic Institute of Viseu, Portugal