

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_81689
Title of the Manuscript:	“Constraints Faced by Almond Growers in Adoption of Recommended Package of Practices in Pampore Area of Kashmir Valley”
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Add heading Study area before this and add map of study area.• Also discuss Ranking with frequency and percentage in the text.• References are missing and add more references.• Cross check the references and write according to journal format and follow the same format throughout the manuscript.• Add figures/table, if possible.• Avoid repetition of words in the whole manuscript.• Add all the comments in your manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Improve your language.• Check spellings as many of these are written wrong.• Follow the same reference style i.e., use comma, space etc. at right place.• It is suggested that use comma's, space etc. properly in the whole document.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Title is written very well, impressive and informative.• Abstract is also qualitative and directly shows the research importance.• Introduction is comprehensively written.• Whole manuscript is very knowledgeable.• Conclusion is easy to interpret and brief.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kartikey Sahil
Department, University & Country	Dr. Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India