

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_81522
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the performance of the production and marketing system of improved seedlings of fruit trees in Njombé-Penja
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript has a number of grammatical and editorial challenges. It needs to be thoroughly revised to improve flow.</p> <p>Several countries could be mentioned with no comma separating them, or thoughts put together with no commas. There are a number of missing conjunctions, and so on, even in the abstract.</p> <p>Some too long sentences need to be broken into shorter ones to improve flow.</p> <p>The introduction feels bare or overly simple. It only describes the current situation in Cameroon and lacks a theoretical basis and adequate literature. Some theoretical framework, citing relevant sources can improve the introduction and overall work.</p> <p>Methodology: - the basis for the sampling needs to be explained and justified. If the study is to bridge the gap of lack of appropriate statistical data, then it needs to be more robust in that regard.</p> <p>The overall literature and literature review needs to be strengthened.</p> <p>The conclusion should include something about the problem and what triggered the process of investigation.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivy Drafor Amenyah
Department, University & Country	Ghana Communication Technology University, Ghana