

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_77205
Title of the Manuscript:	CONSTRAINTS FACED BY VEGETABLE GROWERS IN AMARAVATI DIVISION OF MAHARASHTRA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>i) What was the purpose of the investigation? It is not present in the text (introduction)</p> <p>ii) "The present investigation was conducted to study the constraints faced by the vegetable growers in Amravati division. Therefore, an exploratory design of social research was used for present study". The objective is not presented in the methodology. Even so, the objective is not clear, compromising the results of the investigation</p> <p>iii) Based on the objective, what were the analysis variables, as well as the study method?</p> <p>iv) Differentiate the frequency into absolute and relative</p> <p>v) What does it mean "Component wise Rank" and "Over all rank"?</p> <p>vi) The text does not present a literature review nor a discussion of the results</p> <p>vii) In general, the entire article needs a thorough academic review</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>i) Beware of the statement "A farmer can fetch more prices for his produce in comparison to cereal crops from the small unit area of land, he can take more produce than other crops"... Farmers are price takers</p> <p>ii) Review the article according to an academic/scientific text</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junior Miranda Scheuer
Department, University & Country	University of the Republic, Uruguay