### **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_85522
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis, Characterization and Biological Activity of New Amides Containing Azo Moieties
Type of the Article	Organic

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalajacr.com/index.php/AJACR/editorial-policy)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

Reviewer's comment	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
1. In abstract, change can be to was. 2. In section 2.1, delete all the mentioned locations. 3. As long as the compounds 4,5 and 7 were prepared previously, the sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 are deleted, and it can to refer to them in phrase without mentioned the location. So the section 2.2.3 becomes 2.2.1. 4. No need to repeat Table 1, instead, after last one can be refers that all the properties of all compounds are recorded in Table 1. 5. In section 2.3.2, the weight arranges in respective to 0.07 g, 0.20 g, 0.21 g and 0.27 g, the changes follow this arrangement. 6. In section 3, the first 11 lines are mentioned previously, no need to repeated here. 7. The FTIR and UV spectra should be incorporated in the text. 8. The Schemes 3 and 4 can be unified in one Scheme. 9. The attriution of electronic transition are not correct because the enery proportional invirsely with wavelength. Why the spectra are missing. 10. The author(s) should be explain the mass peaks by fragmentation the compound and returns each fragment to its origin in spectrum, at that time I decide the compound is actually was prepared. 11. The microbiology activity should be supported with microphotos. 12. Conclusion is a second copy for abstract. 13. Change the year of reference 9 to 2000.	
Minor REVISION comments	
Optional/General comments	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

# **Review Form 1.6**

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Mouayed A. Hussein
Department, University & Country	University of Basarh, Iraq

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)