

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_88762
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF DIFFERENT LEVELS OF VERMICOMPOST AND MACRO NUTRIENTS ON THE GROWTH AND YIELD OF GARLIC (<i>Allium sativum</i>)
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Statistics; SPSS should not be utilized. Non-parametric statistics should be utilized.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Conclusion becomes to be "Highest yield of bulb is obtainable by T3 (6 tons Vermicompo) + 100% NPKS or by T5 (100% NPKS) by using Median analysis. Then, paper should be written more compactly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kou Hayakawa
Department, University & Country	National Research Institute for Child Health and Development, Japan