

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_86238
Title of the Manuscript:	RAINFALL VARIABILITY AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTION IN BAMENDA III SUB-DIVISION, CAMEROON
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper entitled “RAINFALL VARIABILITY AND VEGETABLE PRODUCTION IN BAMENDA III SUB-DIVISION, CAMEROON” talks about a very important field and is in line with the focus of the journal.</p> <p>The paper is well structured, well written and has a sufficient length and a suitable arrangement. References are sufficient and updated. This work seems to be based on a strong field work. Some comments preferred to be taken into account:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Add a reference to the figure 1.2. Page 8 title 3.2.1. a possible mistake was found as follows:<ul style="list-style-type: none">••3. In table 3 the sum of percentages does not equal to 100% in some rows. Is this intentional or a calculation mistake? The concerned rows are: <u>Huckleberry, Tomatoes, Eggplant and Bitter leaf</u>.4. Please verify the title of the table 3 (Seasonality and vegetable production output in the <u>rainy season</u>) but in the table we found the two seasons (rainy and dry).	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Atef Ahriz
Department, University & Country	Larbi Tebessi University, Algeria