

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_84241
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Cucumber (Cucumis sativus L.) as Applied with Vermi Tea as Organic Fertilizer
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>Research article requires proper editing of the text before recommendation for publication.</p> <p>Abstract is not clear.</p> <p>Introduction is not properly presented to support their experiment.</p> <p>Methodology is very poor. Also, it is not clear about application of vermi tea to specific plants or in the total area. Moreover, quantity used is also not defined properly.</p> <p>Results and discussion</p> <p>Mean value should must be presented with S.E.</p> <p>In addition, there is lot of flaws in data and its presentation.</p> <p>Text describes no significant variation except weight of cucumber fruit. Also, size, weight etc mentioned in the text is not justified or I am unable to understand, e.g. number of flowers is around 35 but number of fruit is only 5; length of fruit is only 10 cm; diameter only 4 cm, weight only 10 gm. Is it justified?</p> <p>In conclusion, author/s has/have mentioned vermi tea as source of potassium but method of estimation for potassium is lacking.</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Commented research article attached.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kaushal Kishore Choudhary
Department, University & Country	Ram Jaipal College, India