

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Advances in Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_88277
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Selected Empirical Schemes of Calculating Sensible Heat Flux from Routinely-Measured Meteorological Parameters in a Tropical Location
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>2.1 Estimations of Sensible Heat Flux from Routinely-Measured Meteorological Parameters</b>  $H_s$ were derived from the above-mentioned routinely-measured meteorological variables. The period of estimation was between 2016 and 2018.  <b>2.2 Site Description</b>  The period of measurement was between 2016 and 2019.  (Is the estimated and measured values are still relevant for todays publications? Need a clear argument or clarification over here. )  Figures and Table needs proper arrangements in the article.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Subramaniam Jahanadan
Department, University & Country	Labuan Matriculation College, Malaysia