

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_85297
Title of the Manuscript:	BLOOD GLUCOSE AND HAEMATOLOGICAL CHANGES IN YOUNG ADULT FEMALES WITH STRIAE DISTENSAE IN OWERRI, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalahrj.com/index.php/AHRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a good study. However, the word in abstract is too many. The Authors should summarized it. The result in abstract is too long.	
Minor REVISION comments	When the authors used Student T test and Pearson , the normal distribution data should be confirmed with kolmogorov-smirnov test. It is better you add a sentences in analysis, that yours data is normal distribution	
Optional/General comments	This is a good paper and good idea for the next study. There is a grading in striae distense which is can be find the correlation to your data for next study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ago Harlim
Department, University & Country	Universitas Kristen Indonesia, Indonesia