

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_86716
Title of the Manuscript:	Modelling Water Absorption Kinetics of Abrohema, Omankwa, and Akposoe Maize Varieties
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1 - KEYWORDS are repeated title words. I suggest the change. 2 - In relation to sampling, I suggest that the authors report better how these grains were harvested and how many grams (or kilograms) were collected to compose each sample. 3 - Table 1 needs to be improved. All acronyms must be described in the legend. 4 - Figures 1a, 1b and 1c are unconfigured and out of order 5 - The frase: "The maize varieties showed moisture absorption behaviour similar to what has been published." está confusa. Similar to what has been published, where? 6 - Table 2 is unconfigured, it is not possible to visualize the values.	
Minor REVISION comments	2 - I suggest adding a last sentence to the abstract with the main conclusions of the work.	
Optional/General comments	The research is well structured and ready for publication in this journal. However, the comments cited need to be revised. Also, I recommend that the article be proofread by a native speaker as there are grammatical errors.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Greici Bergamo
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil