

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_86387
Title of the Manuscript:	PROXIMATE AND PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF JELLY PRODUCED FROM BLENDS OF BEETROOT AND PINEAPPLE JUICE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The study was very well conducted. The methods are clear and easy to understand. However, the results were not put on a separate section (they are in the methods section. And this was confusing). There should be a section called "Results". Besides, there is no discussion in the article. There should also be a "Discussion" section, where the authors show a little bit of the literature research and compare results of previous studies with theirs. The article focused a lot in the methods (which was excellent explained) but missed some sections.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some concordance mistakes in the text.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Josie Naomi Iyeyasu
Department, University & Country	State University of Campinas – Unicamp, Brazil