

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_85207
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Rice Value Chain Programme on Rice Production in The Gambia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	i) The objective is not clear... What are the effects investigated? ii) The sampling technique is unclear iii) What was the methodological procedure adopted to obtain the data in table 3? For example, did the performance data come only from the interviewees' verbal reports? And, what are the differences between the selected years? And, for the selected villages?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	i) The introduction presents quantitative data, which could be updated ii) The intro is too long. I suggest focusing on the most important points and synthesizing, or creating a bibliographic review section and deepening the analysis iii) before presenting the objective of the article, it is necessary to discuss the research problem iv) The data in table 2 could be compared from the villages identified in the sample v) Have externalities with positive/negative effects on policy been analyzed?	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Has the government policy had any effect on rice imports?	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junior Miranda Scheuer
Department, University & Country	University of the Republic, Uruguay