

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_83441
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Microbial Inoculant on Physiological and Microbiological Properties of Cassava Fermentation Process and Fufu Produced
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Follow the reference and table style given under authors guidelines	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- References must be provided in the methodology section</li><li>- The name of the software as well as the version used should be included</li><li>- The author should elaborate more on the interpretation of the results and in some cases give the averages of the tables</li><li>- To better interpret the results, the author could review the analysis of the data and redo it by day (Day 0; 1; 2 and 3). For each day, for example, an analysis should be done, which would allow for better interpretation and comparison of the results.</li><li>- The titles of the tables should have the same font size as the rest of the text</li><li>- The discussion section has very few references and they are not well written</li><li>- The references should meet the authors' guidelines</li></ul>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ngatsi zemko Patrice
Department, University & Country	University of Yaoundé I, Cameroon